



DU PAGE COUNTY

ETSB - Emergency Telephone System Board

421 N. COUNTY FARM ROAD
WHEATON, IL 60187
www.dupagecounty.gov

Draft Summary

Wednesday, July 9, 2025

9:00 AM

Room 3500B

Join Zoom Meeting

<https://us02web.zoom.us/j/89312813737?pwd=Zclx112Re6GM1kuvBtiyGXwlKZKXI9.1>

Meeting ID: 893 1281 3737

Passcode: 288826

1. CALL TO ORDER

9:00 AM meeting was called to order by Chair Schwarze at 9:02 AM.

2. ROLL CALL

ETSB STAFF:

Linda Zerwin
Gregg Taormina
Eve Kraus
Andres Gonzalez

COUNTY CLERK:

Adam Johnson

STATE'S ATTORNEY:

Mark Winistorfer

ATTENDEES:

Gwen Henry, County Treasurer, Member Ex-Officio
Jan Barbeau, State's Attorney's Office
Tyler Benjamin, DU-COMM
Jason Blumenthal, County Board Office
Jay Burla, Motorola
Eric Burmeister, ACDC
Chris Chisnell, Motorola
Chris Clark, Glen Ellyn Fire
Don Ehrenhaft, County IT
Nancy Llaneta, County Finance
Anthony McPhearson, County CIO
Mike Sampey, Village of Addison
Roy Selvik, Addison PD
Dominic Storelli, Motorola
Rachel Bata, Roselle PD (Remote)

Rob Brill, Wheaton Fire (Remote)
Rich Cassady, Glenside Fire (Remote)
Matt Coppock, Roselle Fire (Remote)
Dave Godek, Clarendon Hills Fire (Remote)
Jimmy Lahanis, Darien-Woodridge Fire (Remote)
Erik Maplethorpe, DU-COMM (Remote)
Jim McCarthy, Bartlett Fire (Remote)
Bret Mowery, York Center Fire (Remote)
Bob Murr, College of DuPage (Remote)
Ryan Miller, DU-COMM (Remote)
Alison Murphy, DMMC (Remote)
Steve Reynolds, Elmhurst Fire (Remote)
Matt Theusch, RapidSOS (Remote)
Chris W. (Remote)
Livingston County ETSB (Remote)

On roll call, Members Schwarze, Franz, Eckhoff, Honig, Johl, Maranowicz, Robb, Schar, and Wolber were present. Members Hernandez, Markay, and McCarthy were absent.

PRESENT	Schwarze, Franz, Eckhoff, Honig, Johl, Maranowicz, Robb, Schar, and Wolber
ABSENT	Hernandez, Markay, and McCarthy

3. PUBLIC COMMENT

There was no public comment.

4. CHAIR'S REMARKS - CHAIR SCHWARZE

Chair Schwarze said that without objection, he was going to begin with Agenda Item 8: DEDIR System and turned the meeting over to PAC Chair Selvik and PAC Vice Chair Clark.

Upon return to Chair's Remarks, Chair Schwarze began his comments by congratulating Scott Klein who is leaving DU-COMM July 4 to take a new position. ETSB wishes him luck in his new position.

Chair Schwarze announced that Hexagon had revealed the spin-off of its Asset Lifecycle Intelligence and Safety, Infrastructure & Geospatial divisions, and related businesses, which will operate as Octave.

Chair Schwarze said that on Tuesday, July 8, 2025, police officers in DuPage County participated in the 100th Countywide Saturation Mission, operating alongside the Chicago Vehicular Hijacking Task Force. Previous monthly reports have noted the participation of ACDC telecommunicators and OHSEM Coordinator John Nebl in specific events. These missions inside DuPage County began three (3) years ago, on May 17, 2022, and continue at a rate of three (3) missions per month. Covert police teams rely upon intelligence of crime trends to drive patrols and focus. They leverage the highest forms of technology - to include police helicopters, radio interoperability tech, license plate reader cameras, drones, tire deflation

devices, GPS tracking systems - all monitored by “real-time crime centers” and 911 dispatchers. These multi-agency missions rely on solid relationships and unified strategies across municipal police, regional 911 dispatch centers, DuPage County OHSEM, City OEMC, State Police, City-County air assets, Federal law enforcement, and other regional task forces. In DuPage County alone, these operations have resulted in the seizure of dozens of firearms, dozens of stolen/felony cars, and hundreds of criminal arrests.

Chair Schwarze then recognized the following Telecommunicators that will be honored at the July 23 DU-COMM Board of Directors meeting.

TC Hannah Peat of DU-COMM answered a 9-1-1 call from a caller reporting that a 1-year-old child was turning purple. TC Peat quickly determined the child was unconscious and not breathing. She submitted the call in just 45 seconds while immediately beginning EMD protocols. Despite the caller’s panic, TC Peat remained calm and began CPR instructions. After several breaths were delivered, the caller reported hearing the child cry. Recognizing this as a sign of improvement, TC Peat used the breathing diagnostic tool to confirm the child was breathing adequately. She continued providing care instructions while checking on the child’s condition until paramedics arrived and made contact with the patient. TC Peat reassured the caller throughout the event and offered praise for their actions once help arrived. Her composure and quick response were critical to the child’s survival.

TC Alexis Schulze of DU-COMM answered a 9-1-1 call from a woman reporting that her 87-year-old husband had become unresponsive while trying to get back into bed. She also believed he was not breathing. TC Schulze quickly recognized the severity of the situation and submitted the call within 1 minute and 15 seconds, immediately initiating EMD protocols for cardiac arrest. Although the caller mentioned having an AED, TC Schulze used sound judgment and instructed her to begin CPR without delay, recognizing that time was critical. She provided clear, calm instructions and continued to reassure the caller as she performed CPR on her husband. TC Schulze remained composed and supportive throughout the emotionally charged event, offering strength and guidance until paramedics arrived. While the patient later passed away at the hospital, he achieved ROSC in the field-giving his family precious time to say goodbye.

Chair Schwarze then recognized DU-COMM Operations Manager Judith Menough who is celebrating 35 years of dedicated service to 9-1-1 communications. Ops Manager Menough has held numerous roles throughout her career, including Communications Supervisor, CTO, Operator-In-Charge, and Cross-trained Telecommunicator. Known for her strong leadership, professionalism, and unwavering support of her team, Ops Manager Menough is a respected and valued member of the DU-COMM family. Her commitment to excellence has had a lasting impact on the organization, its member agencies, and the communities we serve.

5. MEMBERS' REMARKS

There were no Members' remarks.

6. CONSENT AGENDA

Chairman Schwarze asked for a motion to combine Consent Agenda Items B/Monthly Report for

July 9; C/Revenue Report for July 9; D/Minutes Approval Policy Advisory Committee for June 2; E/Minutes Approval ETS Board for June 11. Member Honig motioned, seconded by Member Wolber. On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Chairman Schwarze asked for a motion to approve Consent Agenda Items B/Monthly Report for July 9; C/Revenue Report for July 9; D/Minutes Approval Policy Advisory Committee for June 2; E/Minutes Approval ETS Board for June 11. Member Johl motioned, seconded by Member Maranowicz. On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

6.A. Monthly Staff Report

6.A.1. [25-1667](#)

Monthly Report for July 9 Regular Meeting

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [July Meeting Monthly Report.pdf](#)

6.B. Revenue Report 911 Surcharge Funds

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

6.B.1. [25-1663](#)

ETSB Revenue Report for July 9 Regular Meeting for Fund 5820/Equalization

Attachments: [Revenue Report Regular Meeting 7.9.25 Fiscal Year](#)
 [Revenue Report Regular Meeting 7.9.25 History](#)

6.C. Minutes Approval Policy Advisory Committee

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

6.C.1. [25-1631](#)

ETSB PAC Minutes - Regular Meeting - Monday, June 2, 2025

Attachments: [2025-02-06 PAC Minutes Summary](#)

6.D. Minutes Approval ETS Board

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

6.D.1. [25-1666](#)

ETSB Minutes - Regular Meeting - Monday, June 11, 2025

Attachments: [2025-06-11 ETSB Minutes Summary.pdf](#)

RESULT:	APPROVED THE CONSENT AGENDA
MOVER:	Pat Johl
SECONDER:	Joseph Maranowicz

AYES:	Schwarze, Franz, Eckhoff, Honig, Johl, Maranowicz, Robb, Schar, and Wolber
ABSENT:	Hernandez, Markay, and McCarthy

7. VOTE REQUIRED BY ETS BOARD

7.A. Payment of Claims

7.A.1. [25-1664](#)

Payment of Claims for July 9, 2025 for FY25 - Total for 4000-5820 (Equalization): \$792,286.81

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [Payment of Claims 7.9.25 FY25](#)

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	Joseph Maranowicz
SECONDER:	Pat Johl

7.B. Change Orders

7.B.1. [25-1671](#)

ETS-R-0066F-24 - Amendment to Resolution ETS-R-0066-24, issued to PURVIS Systems Incorporated PO 924025/7298-1, for the purchase of new core Fire Station Alerting (FSA) equipment for a new Itasca Fire Protection District Fire Station, to increase the funding in the amount of \$77,085, resulting in an amended contract total of \$677,230, an increase of 12.84%.

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [PURVIS 924025 Change Order 5.pdf](#)
 [PURVIS 924025 Decision Memo.pdf](#)
 [Itasca IL PC2026-045 Core.pdf](#)
 [Purvis Memorandum of Understanding_Redacted.pdf](#)

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	Pat Johl
SECONDER:	Jessica Robb

7.B.2. [25-1672](#)

ETS-R-0066G-24 - Amendment to Resolution ETS-R-0066-24, issued to PURVIS Systems Incorporated PO 924025/7298-1, for the purchase of new optional Fire Station

Alerting (FSA) equipment for a new Itasca Fire Protection District Fire Station, to increase the funding in the amount of \$20,690, resulting in an amended contract total of \$697,920, an increase of 3.06%.

Vice Chair Franz asked if every time there is a new fire station, ETSB purchases a new PURVIS system. Executive Director Zerwin said there is a System Control Unit (SCU) component and if the station is new, then yes, ETSB purchases the full equipment and uses any old equipment as spare depending on the age of the equipment. There were no further questions.

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [Purvis 924025 Change Order 6.pdf](#)
 [Purvis 924025 Decision Memo.pdf](#)
 [Itasca IL PC2026-046 Optional.pdf](#)
 [Attachment C to MOU.pdf](#)

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	Kyle Wolber
SECONDER:	Pat Johl

7.B.3. [25-1674](#)

2016AD-16 - Amendment to Resolution 2016-16, issued to Intergraph Corporation, d.b.a. Hexagon Safety & Infrastructure, a Delaware Corporation, PO 950900/1914-1, for additional Mobile Responder and I/NetViewer licenses and one (1) additional year of maintenance, to increase the funding in the amount of \$25,981, resulting in an amended contract total of \$22,503,687.78, an increase of 0.12%.

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [Hexagon 950900 Change Order 30.pdf](#)
 [Hexagon 950900 Decision Memo.pdf](#)
 [\(2025-85729\) Mobile Responder & NetViewer](#)
 [Licenses_Redacted.pdf](#)

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	Mark Franz
SECONDER:	Pat Johl

7.C. **Purchase Resolutions**

7.C.1. [ETS-R-0036-25](#)

Awarding resolution to DECCAN International, PO 925021, for an optional renewal of maintenance on the LiveMUM software in the ACDC and DU-COMM PSAPs, for the

period of September 1, 2025 through August 31, 2026, for a contract total of \$44,050, per renewal option under PO 924021/7179-1, first of two options to renew.

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [Deccan 925021 LiveMUM PRCC_Redacted.pdf](#)
 [DuPage - 2024-2026 Renewal Notification_Redacted.pdf](#)

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	Joseph Maranowicz
SECONDER:	Pat Johl

7.C.2. [ETS-R-0037-25](#)

Recommendation for the approval of a contract to EOLA Power LLC, to furnish, deliver, and install 372 UPS replacement batteries within the ACDC and DU-COMM PSAPs, for a contract total of \$144,835.20; Per lowest responsible bid 25-040-ETSB.

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [EOLA 25-040-ETSB PRCC_Redacted.pdf](#)
 [BID Tab 25-040-ETSB \(Revised\).pdf](#)
 [EOLA Power LLC_Redacted.pdf](#)

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	David Schar
SECONDER:	Kyle Wolber

7.D. **Resolutions**

7.D.1. [ETS-R-0034-25](#)

Resolution to approve access to the DuPage Emergency Dispatch Interoperable Radio System (DEDIR System) talk groups pursuant to Policy 911-005.2: Access to the DuPage Emergency Dispatch Interoperable Radio System, as requested by the Regional Emergency Dispatch Center.

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [Red Center MOU executed 6.24.25_Redacted](#)

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	Pat Johl
SECONDER:	Joseph Maranowicz

7.D.2. [ETS-R-0035-25](#)

Resolution to approve access to the DuPage Emergency Dispatch Interoperable Radio System (DEDIR System) talk groups pursuant to Policy 911-005.2: Access to the DuPage Emergency Dispatch Interoperable Radio System, as requested by the Rosemont Public Safety Department.

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [Rosemont Du Page Application 6.26.25_Redacted](#)
 [Rosemont DuPage MOU Executed_Redacted](#)
 [Bensenville Letter_Redacted](#)

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	David Schar
SECONDER:	Pat Johl

7.D.3. [ETS-R-0038-25](#)

Resolution to amend and approve Policy 911-013: Information Technology and Network Security.

On voice vote, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [911-013 Information Technology and Network Security](#)
 [Policy July 1 2025 draft.pdf](#)

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	Kyle Wolber
SECONDER:	Pat Johl

7.D.4. [ETS-R-0039-25](#)

Resolution to amend and approve Policy 911-013.1: Computer Aided Dispatch Interface Access and Fees.

Vice Chair Franz asked for a summary of what was being accomplished with this policy. ASA Winistorfer said his understanding is that the changes will broaden the section which previously only covered items that were interfaced with CAD. This policy would cover interfaces that would hang off of the 911 system and secure a payment structure. Vice Chair Franz thanked ASA Winistorfer for the legal reply and asked Executive Director Zerwin if the changes were vetted by the Policy Advisory Committee (PAC) or the PSAPs, that all policy changes go through PAC first. Executive Director Zerwin said this is not a PAC policy, that PAC is for radio policies. Vice Chair Franz said that is not true at all, that there are a lot of things that PAC discusses that are not radio based and that this is not following protocol. Executive Director Zerwin replied that PAC does not deal with policies and procedures of the ETSB. Vice Chair Franz said he thought this should be a PAC discussion and that this is not new, that the PSAPs ask for additional

services all the time. He said, when the policy was created, which he thinks is wrongly characterized as something he requested, which he does not recall, but this was set this up so that when outside agencies ask for additional services through ETSB they should pay a fee. He said he thought that was a good policy. Vice Chair Franz continued saying what it sounds like we are doing is trying to shift and then charge each PSAP anytime they ask for anything additional that is not approved by somebody and that was not the intent of the policy. He said there is no legal issue here, that DU-COMM is a PSAP, not an agency. Vice Chair Franz said this is a totally different approach to what we have talked about recently and thinks it goes back to the fundamental issue he sees with ETSB. Sometimes our statutory authority is to support the PSAPs that exist under the Ordinance for 911 service. The PSAPs do not support us. We have it flipped. This policy is not appropriate, needs to be vetted and if folks feel differently we should at least table this and let DU-COMM's attorney take a look at this since they have not had a chance to review it. Vice Chair Franz said it does not have his support at all, that it is completely out of left field.

Member Robb said he ask would be a motion to table until DU-COMM's legal has an opportunity to talk with ASA Winistorfer further about this. She said this seems to have been born out of a DU-COMM request specifically and they would like time to have their legal vet this. Member Robb said they just received the MOU on Monday afternoon and they have not had time to go over it thoroughly, and that the packet came out late on a holiday weekend. She said DU-COMM has not been able to get hold of their lawyer concerning this.

Vice Chair Franz said fundamentally we are going to start sending invoices to the PSAPs any time we feel we need to be reimbursed. He said that does not make any sense, that we are here to support the PSAPs, and that he does not understand the change at all.

Executive Director Zerwin said this is a security policy. In the sense that it is a security policy, anything that attaches to the 911 system has to be reviewed no matter where it comes from. The question that we had was whether the fees apply to the DU-COMM portion because the policy only considered CAD because up until this point the only things that had ever been requested have been off of the CAD system. The particular thing that DU-COMM is requesting hangs off the logger. She said the policy does not speak to that and that is the why there is a language adjustment to 911 system. The policy now becomes more all encompassing versus just CAD. Executive Director Zerwin continued saying because the security system is based on [vendor name removed], based on the security breach that other agencies within our system have experienced, even Addison, that makes the system vulnerable. The Tech Focus Group looks at everything that comes into the system regardless of where it comes from. In the sense of diversion of funds, the fees are put in place because ETSB staff should be working on those things that are related to 911 since the staff is paid by 911 surcharge. ETSB should be charging for LEADS because LEADS is something specifically mentioned by the Attorney General as not an allowable cost. There is a LEADS interface that the PSAPs pay for and that ETSB oversees as well because it connects into the system. She said that is the point of the policy and that looking at the fee structure, it is not over the top. Executive Director

Zerwin said this was the collective recommendation from the State's Attorney review on how ETSB should proceed to encompass software that is purchased by not the ETSB, but an outside agency who wants to own it, control it and connect it to the system. She said drones, FUSUS, all those things in the past have gone to CAD but that this interface is on the logger. Member Robb said she understands adding the language concerning the logger, but this is a 911 allowable surcharge cost product. She said all our agencies in Illinois are using surcharge funds in order to purchase this product. What she is not understanding is charging the PSAP for the time to connect and maintain a product if it is allowable by surcharge funds. She said DU-COMM is not asking for Axon, which she understand is not an allowable cost. Member Robb said this is a training and quality assurance component to better serve the community, to better train our individuals to do their job and is a PSAP cost.

ASA Winistorfer said he believes it is an allowable cost. He said the ETSB is a sole authority for distribution of surcharge funds and it has not been decided at this time whether to spend the money to facilitate access. So this covers a situation where the access is not, or that decision has not yet been made, and the agency wishes to connect anyway. He said at this point DU-COMM could connect and at a later date this Board could decide to reimburse DU-COMM if they wish or if you wished to ask before purchasing the software, then the Board could have made the decision in advance, in which case the billing portion of this would not apply.

Executive Director Zerwin said more to the question, is that just because something is an allowable cost, does not mean it is coming from an outside agency. She said the policy does not speak to that if you are connecting something and that was part of the nuance of does it apply? Does it not apply? Does allowable come into play with this discussion?

Vice Chair Franz asked who the outside agency is. Executive Director Zerwin said it would be anybody outside of the ETSB, including the PSAPs if they want to buy something and connect it.

Vice Chair Franz said he understands the security standpoint and that everything needs to be reviewed but that he does not think there was any discussion about including the PSAPs as an outside agency to assess fees when the policy was created. He said there have been a hundred things worked on in the time he has been here and they always get worked out, that sometimes ETSB needs to play referee and make a decision. But to change the policy and say we are going to charge DU-COMM seems improper. ASA Winistorfer said that under the Statute, the ETSB cannot delegate its authority to be the decider on how surcharge is spent. He said we cannot just allow something or facilitate access without an actual decision by the Board and that decision should happen in this case. Vice Chair Franz said he is not arguing that, he is talking about the policy change.

Member Honig said that if DU-COMM is requesting to table this item for more time to review it, especially when it came out, then he is in favor of that. He said he may not share all of Vice Chair Franz's discussion points, but they are here to support the PSAPs and if they are asking for more time, that provides the Board more time to assess and

revisit in the next meeting. He said he is not familiar with the fees and asked whether there is a specific change in the dollar amount that this policy would cost. Chair Schwarze said the fees were established not long ago but that the fee structure is not necessarily an issue. Executive Director Zerwin said it is \$4,500 from the ETSB portion. She said this requires an interface from Motorola that would cost DU-COMM \$15,000.

Executive Director Zerwin suggested they do not table the policy and continue the discussion and amend, if necessary. She said that if DU-COMM is in a hurry to get the interface running, with the policy in place, movement could continue in the background while the Board looks at the MOU and the attorneys can discuss. Executive Director Zerwin said DU-COMM had expressed concern that this was being inordinately delayed and she did not want to delay it further by tabling the item. Member Robb said it had already been three months since their original request and that delaying it another month would not be severely detrimental. She would rather have their legal have the opportunity to export policy and the MOU.

Vice Chair Franz asked if they have to remove the motion before they can table the policy, that he would like to motion to table this. ASA Winistorfer said you just make a motion to table it now. Vice Chair Franz said he would make a motion to table, seconded by Member Maranowicz.

Member Eckhoff asked if there is something in the process now that this policy would apply to that has been pending for three months for DU-COMM. He asked if Executive Director Zerwin wanted the policy so ETSB can charge DU-COMM. Executive Director Zerwin replied yes, that they could debate whether this applies to DU-COMM purchasing when the police allows for the logger to allow for the MOU, but that does not matter. Member Eckhoff said if the policy gets pushed off for a month, can DU-COMM push off whatever their purchased would apply to. Vice Chair asked if the logger purchase should be on the next agenda to approval to have the ETSB consider approving the purchase. He asked if that is in essence what Member Robb asked for three months ago. Member Robb replied no, but that she would like the time to speak with her legal in terms of perhaps adding something to the agenda it terms of having the ETSB pay for this connection fee. Member Honig clarified, instead of DU-COMM. Member Robb confirmed, correct because it is an allowable cost and she understands she has not asked for that yet of this Board, and so she would like the time. Vice Chair Franz said so that could be on the agenda as well as the policy, that the logger itself has already been Member Robb confirmed we already have the logger.

Executive Director Zerwin said so this is the first time that DU-COMM has indicated they wanted ETSB to pay for an interface in discussion. She said this is also part of the policy because nothing then prevents an agency with an allowable expense, unless there is policy, to buy something and have an expectation of an unbudgeted expense. She said in FY25, this \$15,000 would be unbudgeted if ETSB is going to pay for it. The funds set aside for time and material on the Hexagon contract does not exist for Motorola or any other vendor that would connect to another component of the system. Executive Director Zerwin said this would be an unbudgeted expense out of the Contingencies line item. She

said they are now opening the door for an expectation that the PSAPs can buy whatever they want and because it is allowable, that cost comes to ETSB and that is something that we should look at in the policy. We may come back with different language then or within a finance policy regarding purchasing.

Member Honig asked that if a PSAP were purchasing an item or interface and they want to put the cost on ETSB, couldn't there be language in the policy or MOU that the expense would be proportional to the time it would take ETSB staff to operate. If the PSAP is purchasing the service/software and ETSB were doing the heavy lifting the PSAP would be charged. He felt there was a good way to look at the policy with it being broad but also specific to the nature of the request. Executive Director Zerwin said there is already a partial policy in that each year during the budget process the ETSB asks the PSAPs to submit any capital requests and there would be time to consider who pays for it and what is involved.

Member Maranowicz said he supports DU-COMM although the request would be unbudgeted and come the end of the year, ACDC and DU-COMM would know these contingencies came up, there was funding that was provided, but you do not get both (meaning the end of year PSAP allocation). He said they should look at the policy and if the request is legal, the funding should be provided. Member Maranowicz believed that DU-COMM should have their legal review.

Vice Chair Franz said he concurs, that it should be the exception not the rule. He said they would rather have budgeted expenses but that sometimes things come up and over the last 12 years he has been on the ETS Board, they have done a number of those.

ASA Winistorfer clarified there was a motion to table this item to a date certain, which is the next ETS Board meeting, and a second. Vice Chair Franz agreed.

Member Eckhoff asked if there was a concern that this does not getting approved today. Executive Director Zerwin said it would slow the process. She said she thinks there is intent to do the interface to the logger and that the question would be whether to proceed with Motorola to continue development so that when the policy is resolved they are not waiting on development. Executive Director Zerwin said this is where they put her in a no win situation. The expense cannot be authorized unless there is something that says the PSAP or agency intends to pay for it. Member Eckhoff inquired into the downfall if this waits another 30 days as opposed to telling DU-COMM now this is how you are going to pay for it or reimburse ETSB. Executive Director Zerwin said she brought up not tabling the policy to move it forward while the discussion of who is paying for what happens. Vice Chair Franz asked if the PSAPs had been charged for any expense before. Executive Director Zerwin responded, LEADS. Vice Chair Franz rephrased his question to ask if the PSAPs had been charged for a legal surcharge expense. Executive Director Zerwin said the policy was not changed to ask for ETSB staff services to be paid for so we are walking down Vice Chair Franz interrupted and said right, but that is for an outside agency, not a PSAP. Executive Director Zerwin said DU-COMM is a cooperative government entity and in a sense is a separate agency. Vice Chair Franz said it is a

separate agency but that we are here to support the PSAPs. Member Maranowicz said he thought they are doing it with the radios by saying the next renewal process is on the PSAPs to pay for. Executive Director Zerwin said the mobile and CSO radios are examples. Vice Chair Franz said but that is with the agency. Executive Director Zerwin responded, with DU-COMM, too.

Chair Schwarze asked for a roll call vote. On roll call, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

Attachments: [Policy 911-013.1 9-1-1 System Interface Access and Fees July 1 2025 draft.pdf](#)
 [Policy 911-013.1 9-1-1 System Interface Access and Fees August 2025 draft redline JR edits 8.5.25.pdf](#)

RESULT:	TABLED
MOVER:	Mark Franz
SECONDER:	Joseph Maranowicz

8. DEDIR SYSTEM

Without objection, Chair Schwarz began with Agenda Item 8: DEDIR System and turned the meeting over to PAC Chair Selvik and PAC Vice Chair Clark.

PAC Chair Selvik began by saying the Fire Chiefs had all been invited to the in-person Podcast on July 22 during which they would take back two (2) radios per agency for testing and training purposes before the full rollout.

PAC Vice Chair Clark thanked ETSB staff for their support and said a lot of details had been worked out in the last few weeks to plan for the testing rollout. PAC Chair Selvik recognized PAC Vice Chair Clark for running the Fire Focus Group and their level of accomplishments.

Chair Schwarze asked for any questions. Vice Chair Franz asked if there was a presentation from Motorola. Chair Schwarze replied that Motorola was present for questions.

Member Maranowicz asked if they could explain the project delays to this point. The Motorola representatives introduced themselves: Account Manager Chris Chisnell, Vice President of the Midwest Jay Burla, and Area Sales Manager of Illinois Dominic Storelli. Mr. Chisnell began by saying from a Motorola perspective that DuPage County is a complex area with complex scenarios and that if something were rolled out incorrectly, it would cause twice the problems and delays and that with the number of departments/Chiefs, the deployment has been a monumental effort. The upcoming deployment was discussed by the Motorola representatives in terms of a programming plan and timeline to turn out the test radios, any potential template or programming changes from the agencies which could be pushed out over the air but may require restructuring, additional Motorola staff brought in to assist, the new talk group agreements, and how to manage expectations of the deployment process moving forward.

Executive Director Zerwin also brought up the impact of the mobile radios and options available as well as the costs, State's Attorney's opinion, and FCC criteria of first responder radios and the corresponding Intergovernmental Agreements (IGAs) with the agencies. ASA Winistorfer said the reason each agency will attest to the use of the radios is because the Chief of the agency is the only one who can speak for his/her personnel within the respective agency. ASA Winistorfer said each agency would also sign the IGA directly with the County, on behalf of its ETSB, as opposed to the previous version in which DU-COMM elected to sign for all their agencies.

Vice Chair Franz expressed frustration regarding the IGAs having to go through their respective agencies' boards for execution following the attestation of the Chiefs and the potential delays involved in the process, that this should be kept as simple as possible. He also said that Motorola not being prepared with a presentation on where we are with the radios, with the mobiles, and next steps was inexcusable. Chair Schwarze said he respectfully disagreed, that Motorola had been invited to answer questions, as requested by Vice Chair Franz in the June meeting. Chair Schwarze said he felt the PAC Chair and Vice Chair along with Executive Director Zerwin had done a solid job explaining the status of where the radios are this morning and said Motorola would answer any questions. Vice Chair Franz addressed Motorola and said gentlemen, this is a multi-million dollar contract and said you (Motorola) came to answer questions, and asked, so where are we with the project? Test radios go out, then what? What's the timeline? Vice Chair Franz continued saying this has not been a smooth process and said when he explains the challenges and complications of the project, the question will then be asked, when will it roll out? And he (Vice Chair Franz) cannot answer that question. Vice Chair Franz then asked, so what are the timelines? What is after the testing phase? Now we have to do IGAs, which will be a month, then what? When will agencies have workable radios? Vice Chair Franz finished with, answer me that, please.

Chris Chisnell of Motorola answered that this is a joint process and that he cannot answer all those questions. PAC Vice Chair Clark said that he is familiar with the radio, the programming, and the inventory and that he could speak to those points. He explained that programming was starting today and would be completed by July 22 if all goes well. PAC Vice Chair Clark said that when Motorola gets the word the test radios are good, they can begin programming the rest of the agency's radios. He said from a technical standpoint, the distribution of those radios would involve collection of the old radios and provisioning of the new radios, and that agencies cannot have old and new at the same time for a number of technical reasons, so deployment may take a day per agency. PAC Vice Chair Clark said that while he cannot speak to the IGAs, from a technical standpoint, he does not see anything that will slow deployment at this point. He finished by asking Executive Director Zerwin if some of the IGAs were already done.

Executive Director Zerwin briefly explained the affidavit previously referenced by ASA Winistorfer, the execution of the IGAs and discussion of a letter of intent for the boards who do not meet in July to keep the fire deployment project moving forward, as has been done before. She said the IGA is the same instrument that had been executed by DU-COMM on behalf of their police agencies and had been run through their members and attorneys with the exception of one change to amend a billing date, as the 2022 IGA referenced a date that has now passed. Member Maranowicz said at the time of the police deployment, he did not want to sign on behalf of ACDC agencies, that to Executive Director Zerwin's point, the Village of Addison's legal

staff had said they did not want to take on that responsibility for their agencies. Executive Director Zerwin confirmed that Warrenville Fire, a DU-COMM agency, had also elected to sign their IGA directly with County/ETSB.

Member Eckhoff asked for clarification of the two (2) test radios per agency and the process to test them. PAC Vice Chair Clark explained the channel lineups chosen in their programming and the testing they would run through, including mutual aid with their neighboring agencies. Discussion ensued on the timeline of the testing, the physical deployment, and any bottlenecks which at this time could have a detrimental effect on that agency, but not the overall system. There were questions regarding the additional Motorola staff and their roles in the project. Mr. Chisnell said Motorola could bring in an entire team, as many resources as needed, to manage any changes and ensure the radios are right. PAC Vice Chair Clark said that the nature of this is that even if DuPage does not change anything locally, somebody somewhere else is going to change something. And the beauty of these radios is those changes can be pushed out over the system without touching all of the radios. He said we are getting this as close as we can to perfect, but it will never be perfect, that there will always be updates going out, and that is how the radios were designed and why we bought them. Member Eckhoff expressed his frustration that he wants to ensure the first responders have the tools they need to protect the public and if there is any way to put pressure on whoever has created a bottleneck in that process.

PAC Vice Chair Clark talked about the test radios as being used as training radios, as well. He said training materials will be provided but that they do not expect a huge learning curve as the radios are not drastically different from the radios they have today, that the radios have the same, but enhanced, functionalities. PAC Vice Chair Clark said the day the new radios show up, personnel are going to lose their old ones, and that they need to be productive from day one.

Member Honig thanked Motorola for coming out to answer questions and said it makes him feel better that there is more responsiveness and aid being provided in the rollout. He also thanked Chiefs Selvik and Clark for their hard work through this long process and working through the frustrations.

Member Schar said that in terms of deployment, his is a smaller Police department but that it took literally less than an hour to turn in their radios, pick up the news ones, and they were out on the street in use. He believed any bottleneck would be on the department, not the system, and that he has spoken to peers at larger departments who had the same experience as his.

Vice Chair Franz said in terms of the project management side, would additional resources from Motorola allow for multiple agencies to roll out on the same day and close the gap. Executive Director Zerwin said that it would not fall on Motorola, that the physical deployment would be ETSB's responsibility. She said if the initial few agencies roll out without issue, then with enough staff and assistance perhaps from OHSEM with the physical deliveries, we may be able to do more than one. Executive Director Zerwin said the difference between the police and fire rollout is that there are nuances with the IDs of the fire radios which makes the rollout a bit more of a challenge and that the nature of the fire deployment may be such that it would be better to make the switch on location but may depend. Vice Chair Franz asked for a timeline for the rest of the year in terms of assignments. Executive Director Zerwin said the project managers have a

Gantt chart that shows the breakdowns and could be sent out.

Vice Chair Franz then asked for a timeline for the IGA process and how that would be rolled out. Would a letter be sent to each agency? What would be said in the letter? Vice Chair Franz stated that thought the police radios, from what he had heard, had rolled out well through DU-COMM and did not know why we would not want to just do the same thing. Chair Schwarze said he believed that it was mentioned that maybe an affidavit could be signed, meaning there is no need for an IGA in hand if the meeting is not for a few weeks. Executive Director Zerwin responded, no, that it is the State's Attorney's recommendation because of the affidavit. Chair Schwarze paused any response, and said to Vice Chair Franz, who had been speaking to another Member, that this question involves one of Vice Chair Franz's questions and that he (Chair Schwarze) wanted to make sure Vice Chair Franz is understanding, please. Chair Schwarze then apologized to ASA Winistorfer. ASA Winistorfer said this was the recommendation of the State's Attorney, not ETSB trying to change things up. He said the affidavit is basically saying that under penalty of perjury, the radios are used for first responders in the initial dispatch. ASA Winistorfer said the Chief has to attest because it is operational and that IGAs should be individual. He said agencies with an IGA with DU-COMM will have to re-execute. ASA Winistorfer continued saying the affidavits can be signed, that on the police side, the APX4000 or APXNext model radios are being distributed to CSOs and that ETSB will be pay for police mobiles as per the opinion. He said any agency with an individual IGA in place would only need the affidavit. Chair Schwarze asked an example question of an agency who may not have a meeting for a month or so, that they can sign the affidavit and provide the IGA at a later date after their meeting. Executive Director Zerwin answered that the agency would sign a Letter of Intent (LOI) and within the LOI the agency would state their intent to execute the IGA at their next meeting and provide the specific date. She said this process had been used before and that it will not hold up the process.

Member Maranowicz said that the Village of Addison's legal department was very specific in what was just said, and that Addison did not want to assume responsibility on behalf of their members. He said he did not want to speak for DU-COMM, but that if some department went rogue and handed out a radio to someone they were not supposed to, why would Addison be accountable for that? He said from an ACDC perspective, if you do something, it is on you, the agency. Member Maranowicz said he respectfully disagrees with Vice Chair Franz, that he (Member Maranowicz) likes the way it is set up from a liability standpoint, that if you, the agency, does something wrong, it is not DU-COMM's fault. It is the agency's fault, and you should have known better. Member Robb said she would agree in terms of pushing the ownness back onto the department. She said she was not here when DU-COMM took on the IGAs for all the police departments, but it was her intent to change that with the fire departments and have the fire departments sign individually. Member Robb said she is very much for the...especially given the change in the State's Attorney's opinion coming down the pike.

There was an unrecognized question and comment from Mr. Jim McCarthy President of the Bartlett Fire Protection District who asked if there is a template for the IGA. He said the Bartlett board has not met yet in June and does not see why his board would not approve the IGA in advance of getting the radios. Mr. McCarthy asked if there is a reason why they should not approve it in advance. Chair Schwarze asked if Mr. McCarthy would send him an email with his

questions and provided his email address. Mr. McCarthy responded that he could do that but was unclear as to why, that if they have an opinion on this why can't the question be answered. He continued saying he could send the email and asked them if there was a checklist for the testing process. There was a brief deliberation between Chair Schwarze and ASA Winistorfer regarding board meeting procedure and a non-member who wished to be recognized outside of public comment after which Chair Schwarze stated he would recognize Mr. McCarthy and turned to Executive Director Zerwin to address the questions. Executive Director Zerwin responded there is a template and template resolution, as it is the same template as was used before. She said there is no reason why Mr. McCarthy's, or any, board could not approve it ahead of time. Executive Director Zerwin said in terms of a checklist, there are items the Fire Focus Group has put together that would be provided to the Chiefs, specific things to look at, and that there may be questions or comments that come from training. Mr. McCarthy asked that once the radio checks have been done, would this be on a first come first served basis. He said there have been people who have dragged their feet on simple replies and that he does not want to suffer that they have been prompt in theirs. Executive Director Zerwin said that when information is submitted, it is more likely than not that the programmers will take information coming in on a daily basis and that when agencies are ready with their paperwork, they can get into the queue. Executive Director Zerwin said it would probably be first come, first served. Mr. McCarthy thanked Executive Director Zerwin who replied in kind. Chair Schwarze asked if Mr. McCarthy had any further questions as he had the floor at the moment. Mr. McCarthy replied, no, not at all and thank you very much.

Chair Schwarze asked if there were any other questions for Motorola, to which there were none. Chair Schwarze thanked the Motorola representatives for their time.

Chair Schwarze then moved back to Agenda Item 4: Chair's Remarks.

8.A. Police

8.B. Fire

9. DU PAGE ETSB 9-1-1 SYSTEM DESIGN

There was nothing further to discuss.

10. OLD BUSINESS

Member Robb asked about system acceptance for the AXS consoles. She requested a status because of the volume issues as well as the Telecommunicators randomly being logged out. Executive Director Zerwin said she believed that Motorola was working through those and once they are resolved, then they can move onto acceptance testing. She said the system cannot be accepted if there is a problem. Member Robb asked what was happening with the logging out issues. Deputy Director Taormina provided an update from Motorola regarding the patch update and subsequent reboot. Executive Director Zerwin said if nothing has occurred within 10-14 days, and the PSAPs are comfortable moving onto acceptance testing, that process could begin.

Member Robb then inquired into the GIS projects and said there has not been a map rollout since April and that a update is pending from Hexagon. Mr. Taormina said there is a meeting set between him and Hexagon to go through the process and that the MSS database which had an

issue had to be rebuilt. He said the submissions have been staged so once the database is ready, he hopes that the changes can be pushed out.

Executive Director Zerwin said she appreciated the opportunity for staff to attend the Hexagon conference last month, that Mr. Cieplinski and Mr. Bhatt were able to work with Hexagon developers on that issue and come back with ideas.

Member Eckhoff said that in terms of the tabled policy, there has to be an understanding between all parties involved in terms of what ETSB's duties and responsibilities and obligations and believed the attorneys should sit down with everyone else and get that clear understanding.

Chair Schwarze closed old business with a reminder that the Ad Hoc Finance Committee meeting would follow this one.

11. NEW BUSINESS

There was no new business.

12. EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 10:20am, a motion was made by Vice Chair Franz, seconded by Member Maranowicz, for ETSB to enter into Executive Session. On roll call, all "Ayes", motion carried. Pursuant to the carried vote, ETSB entered Executive Session.

12.A. Minutes Review Pursuant to 5 ILCS 120/2 (C) (21)

12.B. Personnel Matters Pursuant to 5 ILCS 120/2 (C) (1)

ETSB is going into Executive Session Pursuant to Section 5 ILCS 120/2(c)(1) for the purpose of considering the appointment, employment, compensation, discipline, performance, or dismissal of specific employees of the public body

12.C. Security Procedures and the Use of Personnel and Equipment Pursuant to 5 ILCS

12.D. Pending Litigation Matters Pursuant to 5 ILCS 120/2 (C) (11)

13. MATTERS REFERRED FROM EXECUTIVE SESSION

At 10:55am, the regular meeting of the ETSB reconvened. On roll call, Members Schwarze, Franz, Eckhoff, Honig, Johl, Maranowicz, Robb, Schar, and Wolber were present.

Member Eckhoff made a motion to submit the job postings to fill the open positions and to adjust the salaries as outlined, seconded by Member Maranowicz. Vice Chair Franz commented on the process saying that a motion is not done for every vacancy for the County and believed ETSB creates extraordinary rules that we should not be doing.

On roll call, all Members voted "Aye", motion carried.

14. ADJOURNMENT

14.A. Next Meeting: Wednesday, August 13 at 9:00am in 3-500B

Without objection, the meeting of the ETS Board was adjourned at 10:57am.

