

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Tuesday, July 21, 2026 9:05 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 07/21/26 9:05 PM

Meeting Date: 07/28/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Alice Bereman

Organization:

Address: [REDACTED]
Montgomery, IL [REDACTED]

**Daytime
Phone:** [REDACTED]

Subject: 75th St. Naperville woods Pulte development proposal

Comment:

I am urging the board to please deny this housing development proposal which will cause both irreparable environmental damage and distrust from your existing community residents.

Pulte is unqualified in every way to decide which mature trees and habitat should be removed and what may stay. The microhabitat of a single mature oak tree alone with an ancient network of roots and enormous carbon

sequestering canopy cannot be duplicated by even 100 sapling plantings. Damage to one has rippling damage to the surrounding trees and wetlands.

I have lived in this area my entire 52 years and have seen 300year old trees and critically endangered habitat destroyed overnight, and have had it with the limitless freedom allowed by city boards to developers who, instead of mapping homes around existing valuable habitat, they are allowed to remove what's in their way. It's absolutely horrific, it's uneducated, and it severs our connection to nature.

Why are developers like Pulte still allowed to do this in 2026? Listen to your community, take care of your existing community, please save these special places that allow us to coexist in nature. If there is this much pushback from residents, RESPECT THEIR DESIRE TO KEEP THIS AREA PROTECTED! And please respect the few natural places we still have. Please change. Please find alternatives.

Sincerely,
Alice Evans Bereman



© 2026 Intellistack (formerly Formstack). All rights reserved.

This is a customer service email.

Intellistack, 50 South Steele Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80209

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Saturday, August 8, 2026 9:39 AM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 08/08/26 9:38 AM

Meeting Date: 08/11/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Julie Evans

Organization: Resident of Glen Ellyn

Address: [REDACTED]
Glen Ellyn, IL [REDACTED]

**Daytime
Phone:** [REDACTED]

Subject: Zoning 26-0007 NGHC, Inc. Planned Unit Development

Comment:

I'm Julie Evans, a resident of Glen Ellyn and disability advocate devoted to educating and advocating alongside and on behalf of people with intellectual and developmental disabilities (IDD) primarily on the topics of housing and employment. To do this, I work with others in my circle to highlight the needs of people with IDD to decision makers at the municipal, county, state and federal levels and the community at large to create positive change.

Our efforts influenced the Village of Glen Ellyn's approval of a supportive housing development prioritizing people with disabilities which is currently under construction. This took years of committed advocacy. Our advocacy continues as the Village works to adopt a new Strategic Plan, update Zoning Code, and implement goals from its Comprehensive Plan and Housing Assessment. Our goal is to increase supportive housing options with fewer barriers to entry for IDD residents and those who help them. We've also seen success with inclusive employment in Glen Ellyn by regularly educating our business community on its benefits.

At the County, I followed the Ad Hoc Housing Solutions Committee and served as an IDD advocate on the For Everyone Home outreach task force convened for the County by DuPage Federation. Over the past several years, I've seen the County take time to become educated on affordable and supportive housing needs and begin to commit resources.

At the State and Federal levels, I advocate on legislation affecting people with IDD.

Regarding the Zoning relief requested by NGHC, Inc. on today's Development Committee agenda, I view this as reasonable accommodations for equal access as defined under the Fair Housing Act. The proposal also helps address a proven unmet need for disability housing in our communities while focusing on the desire of a particular group of IDD residents to live in their community of choice while creating a meaningful life with support, building on their established presence in Naperville.

I urge the Development Committee to override the Zoning Board of Appeals decision and to recommend this project to the County Board for approval. But just as important, I strongly recommend the County explore policies and zoning code changes that encourage people with disabilities and those who care for them to bring projects like this to the County and surrounding municipalities. DuPage County can be a leader. Every part of DuPage County needs housing like this.

Thank you.



© 2026 Intellistack (formerly Formstack). All rights reserved.

This is a customer service email.

Intellistack, 50 South Steele Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80209

To the Members of the DuPage County Board:

I am writing to formally document serious concerns regarding the leadership of Coroner Judith Lukas and the effect her management has had on the DuPage County Coroner's Office.

For the past five and a half years, I have been a faithful and dedicated employee of DuPage County. I have worked hard to serve the residents of this County, support grieving families, and fulfill the responsibilities entrusted to me with professionalism, compassion, and integrity. I did not reach this decision lightly. However, upon the County's receipt of this letter, today will be my final day of employment. I am deeply sorry that I can no longer continue working under Coroner Lukas' management and the conditions her leadership has created within the office.

During my time in the office, I found Coroner Lukas to be remarkably disconnected from the daily responsibilities, procedures, and operational realities of the department she was elected to lead. She did not appear to make a meaningful effort to fully understand the work performed by her employees or the challenges they faced. Effective leadership requires more than holding a title. It requires learning the operation, listening to experienced staff, following through on commitments, and treating employees fairly. In my experience, those basic expectations were repeatedly unmet.

Coroner Lukas frequently made promises to employees that were later ignored, delayed indefinitely, or never fulfilled. A significant example involved compensation for employees assigned to the night shift. During the shift-selection process, Coroner Lukas provided written documentation by email stating that night-shift employees would receive differential pay in the form of a stipend.

Employees relied on that written representation when selecting their schedules, and deputies agreed to work the night shift with the understanding that they would receive additional compensation. Despite that commitment, Coroner Lukas failed to implement the stipend and allowed the issue to remain unresolved for approximately six months.

Employees were recently informed that the promised stipend would no longer be provided. Coroner Lukas attributed the reversal to the County Board, stating that the Board would not allow the additional compensation. Regardless of where responsibility ultimately lies, deputies selected and worked night shifts based on a written promise from Coroner Lukas that differential compensation would be provided.

This was not a minor misunderstanding. Employees made meaningful work and personal scheduling decisions in reliance on a documented commitment from their elected department head. Failing to honor that commitment, allowing the matter to remain unresolved for months, and later withdrawing it damaged trust and reinforced the perception that employees could not rely on Coroner Lukas' representations.

Coroner Lukas also appeared to demonstrate favoritism in hiring and employment decisions. Of particular concern, she sought out and hired an individual under circumstances that appeared to involve a political favor, despite being aware of documented concerns regarding that individual's prior conduct, professional history, and suitability for a position of public trust. If the County Board reviews this hiring decision, I encourage it to independently examine the individual's publicly available background and the information known to Coroner Lukas at the time of the appointment.

There were also troubling inconsistencies in starting compensation. One employee was hired at an annual salary of \$60,000 based on Coroner Lukas' belief that the individual had greater experience. Another employee was hired at an annual salary of \$57,000 despite having direct death investigation experience and holding an investigator certification obtained through previous employment. The employee receiving the lower salary possessed directly relevant experience and professional credentials, yet was started at a lower rate. This disparity was difficult to justify based on the employees' applicable qualifications and further contributed to the perception that hiring and

compensation decisions were not being made fairly or consistently.

Coroner Lukas also repeatedly brought an untrained dog from her home into the office and allowed it to roam freely throughout the workplace. The dog urinated and defecated inside the office on numerous occasions, and Coroner Lukas did not always clean up after it. The dog also barked persistently and took items from employees' offices, creating an unnecessary and distracting work environment.

These disruptions were particularly inappropriate in an office where employees routinely communicate by telephone with grieving families during sensitive death investigations. Loud barking and other disruptions made it more difficult for staff to maintain the calm and professional atmosphere those conversations require.

The dog continued to be present in the office as recently as late July 2026, when it again defecated inside the workplace. Employees should not be expected to work around animal waste, an uncontrolled animal, loud barking, or avoidable health concerns in a professional county facility.

Coroner Lukas also openly belittled the work performed by deputy coroners. On multiple occasions, she stated that the job was not difficult, that employees should complain less about the daily stresses of the Coroner's Office, and that her previous employment at the Post Office had been more difficult than the work performed by deputies. She also stated that deputies "do not have a lot to do."

These remarks were dismissive of the emotional, investigative, and professional demands placed upon deputy coroners. Deputies routinely respond to deaths, interact with grieving families, coordinate with law enforcement and medical professionals, document complex investigations, and manage distressing scenes. Minimizing that work demonstrated a lack of appreciation for the responsibilities carried by her staff and contributed to declining morale.

Coroner Lukas also used disparaging and disrespectful language about specific employees while speaking with other staff members. Discussing employees in this manner undermined trust, damaged working relationships, and created concern that private workplace matters could become subjects of ridicule or gossip.

Staff members were also subjected to inappropriate questions concerning their personal medical histories. These inquiries were made in a manner that caused employees to feel uncomfortable and raised concerns regarding professional boundaries and employee privacy.

Coroner Lukas repeatedly communicated conflicting information to different staff members. This resulted in confusion, miscommunication, and uncertainty during death investigations. In an office responsible for legally sensitive and time-sensitive investigations, inconsistent instructions from leadership can create avoidable errors and undermine operational reliability.

Coroner Lukas also sent mass emails to multiple deputies criticizing investigative reports before those reports had been finalized. The language used in these communications was frequently perceived as passive-aggressive and unnecessarily critical. Addressing individual performance concerns through mass communications embarrassed employees, discouraged open communication, and further lowered staff morale.

There was also an apparent double standard regarding scheduling flexibility. Deputies were informed that flexibility would not be provided for medical or dental appointments and other necessary personal matters. At the same time, Coroner Lukas was observed leaving the office for several hours on multiple days for her own medical appointments. This inconsistency contributed to the perception that expectations applied to staff were not equally applied to leadership.

Coroner Lukas also shared highly personal and inappropriate information about herself with staff members, including discussions involving nudity. These disclosures made employees uncomfortable and were not appropriate for a professional workplace.

During group staff meetings, Coroner Lukas singled out individual employees using language and a manner that appeared intended to embarrass or humiliate them in front of their coworkers. Public criticism of this nature discouraged employees from speaking openly and contributed to an atmosphere in which staff feared being singled out rather than constructively supported.

More broadly, certain employees appeared to receive greater consideration, support, flexibility, access, or financial benefit than others, regardless of experience, qualifications, effort, or professional contribution. This unequal treatment undermined morale, created division among staff, and left employees with the impression that workplace decisions were based more on personal preference or political considerations than fairness and merit.

The Coroner's Office has also experienced an unusually high level of employee turnover under Coroner Lukas' administration. To my knowledge, the office did not experience this degree of turnover under previous administrations. Employees have left despite the specialized nature of the work, the experience they brought to the office, and the County's investment in their training. This pattern should prompt the Board to examine why employees are choosing to leave and whether the current leadership and working conditions are contributing to the loss of qualified and dedicated staff.

Despite these conditions, DuPage County is fortunate to have a dedicated group of deputy coroners who continue to work hard and serve the public with professionalism, compassion, and integrity. These employees regularly perform emotionally difficult and demanding work, respond at all hours, support grieving families, and ensure that death investigations are handled thoroughly and respectfully.

The continued functioning of the Coroner's Office should not be interpreted as evidence that employee morale is high or that the working environment is healthy. Rather, it reflects the dedication and resilience of deputies who continue to fulfill their responsibilities even under difficult and discouraging conditions. The County should recognize that the quality of service provided by the office is a reflection of the commitment of its deputies, not proof that the concerns regarding leadership are unfounded.

Taken together, Coroner Lukas' lack of operational knowledge, repeated failure to follow through, questionable hiring decisions, inconsistent compensation practices, apparent favoritism, dismissive treatment of employees, inappropriate workplace conduct, conflicting communication, unusually high turnover, and disregard for basic workplace standards contributed to a culture marked by frustration, disruption, embarrassment, and declining confidence in leadership.

Employees who perform difficult and emotionally demanding work deserve a leader who understands their responsibilities, respects their contributions, communicates consistently, maintains appropriate professional boundaries, treats employees fairly, honors written commitments, and provides a clean and safe working environment.

I am not submitting this letter to request a personal remedy or specific action. I am submitting it so these concerns are formally documented and so the County Board is aware of the leadership and management issues experienced by employees within the Coroner's Office. Elected officials should not be insulated from accountability simply because dysfunction within their offices occurs outside public view.

I respectfully request that my identity be treated as confidential. I am concerned that, if Coroner Lukas learns that I submitted this letter, she may attempt to retaliate against me by contacting, influencing, or otherwise interfering with my new employer or my new employment. If this letter, or any portion of it, is shown or provided to Coroner Lukas, I ask that my name, contact information, employment information, and any other details that could reasonably identify me be redacted to the fullest extent permitted by law.

I am also aware that Coroner Lukas has represented to the County Board during previous meetings that employee morale is high and that everyone in the office is hopeful. That description is sharply inconsistent with the

conditions experienced by staff.

Morale is not high. If the morale of the office were compared to the Titanic, the deputies would be the passengers waiting for an available lifeboat. To the extent that employees remain hopeful, many are hopeful that someone else will be elected at the next election.

I encourage the Board to consider the substantial difference between the positive account it has received from Coroner Lukas and the actual experiences of employees working within the office. I further encourage the Board to take employee concerns seriously and examine workplace morale, employee turnover, hiring practices, compensation decisions, workplace sanitation and safety, employee treatment, communication practices, and management conduct within the DuPage County Coroner's Office.

The residents of DuPage County should expect leadership that reflects professionalism, integrity, fairness, sound judgment, and accountability. The dedicated deputies serving the County deserve no less.

Respectfully,

From: Lukas, Judith <Judith.Lukas2@dupagecounty.gov>

Sent: Tuesday, September 16, 2025 10:03 AM

To: Bergstrom, Claire <Claire.Bergstrom@dupagecounty.gov>; Bingen, Taylor <Taylor.Bingen@dupagecounty.gov>; Brant, Jennifer <Jennifer.Brant@dupagecounty.gov>; Calabrese, Samantha <Samantha.Calabrese@dupagecounty.gov>; Coleman, Steve <Steve.Coleman@dupagecounty.gov>; Gabinski, James <James.Gabinski@dupagecounty.gov>; Hewitt, Diane <Diane.Hewitt@dupagecounty.gov>; Judy, MaryBeth <MaryBeth.Judy@dupagecounty.gov>; Moore, Kaitlin <Kaitlin.Moore@dupagecounty.gov>; Tochimani, Diana <Diana.Tochimani@dupagecounty.gov>; Vacala, Gabrielle <Gabrielle.Vacala@dupagecounty.gov>

Subject: New Starting Times

Importance: High

We are moving to new starting times for the deputies in January 2026. Shifts will remain 12 hours. Days off will remain the same. Starting times will be 6:00 AM, 8:00 AM, 10:00 AM, 12:00 Noon, and 10:00 PM. We will not have rotating starting times on either team. The move will provide better coverage for nights and alleviate overtime for those with new cases towards the end of their shift. Like any plan, we will evaluate it and adjust as needed.

Starting times will be picked by seniority. There is no guarantee that you will remain on the same team. Every year in September the deputies will pick their new starting times. Teams will be adjusted, as necessary. The deputies will know what their new starting time and team will be when they choose their vacation in October. Both the new starting times and vacation year will start in January.

If an open time slot occurs during the year, one may choose to put in for that open time slot and it will be assigned based on seniority. The one caveat is that if you choose an opening on the other team and your vacation selection overlaps with someone's on your new team, you must agree to give up your vacation pick and select another or stay on your current team.

I will pay a night shift premium of \$4.00/hour to the person working the 10:00 PM – 10:00 AM shift. This is a flat rate and will not be compounded while working overtime or holidays. If a day shift deputy is covering the night shift, they will be paid the premium for the hours they are covering the shift. No day shift deputy will be paid the premium for working any of the hours in their regular shift. No night shift employee will be paid the premium for working hours on the day shift outside of their regular schedule. The premium will be paid a minimum of twice a year for the employees who regularly work nights. Payment will be more often for those filling in. Money will be paid via a merit bonus.

We will now begin the process of selecting your new starting time for next year. Please email me with your top four starting times and rank them in order. For example:

6:00 am 1st choice

8:00 am 4th choice

10:00 am

12:00 noon 2nd choice

10:00 pm 3rd choice

I need everyone's selection by October 6, 2025. Please ask questions if you need clarification.

Thank You,

Judi

Judith M Lukas, RN BSN

Coroner

DuPage County Coroner's Office

414 N. County Farm Road

Wheaton, IL 60187-3908

Judith.Lukas2@dupagecounty.gov

Office: 630-407-2600

Fax: 630-407-2601



Important Legal Notice:

Though intended solely for the use of the addressee or addressees named above, this electronic correspondence and any subsequent correspondence to or from the above-named sender may be subject to public inspection and copying as public record of DuPage County under the provisions of the Illinois Freedom of Information Act. If you are not the intended recipient, or a person responsible for delivering it thereto, and have received this correspondence in error, please notify the sender immediately. To the extent permitted by law, the information contained in this electronic communication is confidential and may be legally privileged communication. For that reason, if you are not the intended recipient, your disclosure, copying, forwarding, or other dissemination of the contents of this correspondence or any action taken or omitted in reliance on its contents is unauthorized and may be unlawful. Thank you.

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 12:17 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 08/10/26 12:16 PM

Meeting Date: 08/11/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Sharon Costabile

Organization: Engage il

Address: [REDACTED]
Naperville, IL [REDACTED]

**Daytime
Phone:** [REDACTED]


Subject: ZONING-26-000007 – NGHC, Inc

Comment: The Engage IL coalition is in support of the Plank road project and approval of variances required to move forward with this plan.

Further we would like to see policy development to support other families to create new opportunities for housing in Dupage County for those with intellectual and developmental disabilities.

Engage IL is currently working with the state of Illinois department of developmental disabilities to identify barriers in the implementation of services and housing for individuals with disabilities to live and work in their communities in individually controlled homes.

Engage IL is interested in providing community engagement in developing policies to help create more local capacity for individually controlled homes for this population



© 2026 Intellistack (formerly Formstack). All rights reserved.

This is a customer service email.

Intellistack, 50 South Steele Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80209

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 12:31 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: PublicComment
Submitted at 08/10/26 12:30 PM

Meeting Date:	08/10/26
Meeting:	DuPage County Board
Name:	Rachel Costabile
Organization:	Engage IL
Address:	IL
Daytime Phone:	
Subject:	Zoning-26-000007 Plank road project
Comment:	Good morning everyone! My name is Rachel, and alongside are my housemates, Ashley and Ruthie. We want to share why we love calling downtown Naperville our home. For us, living together in our house means independence. With the wonderful support of our staff, we have the freedom to manage our home and make our own choices every single day.

Please support the plank road project for more of my friends with disabilities to live in our communities in Dupage County.



© 2026 Intellistack (formerly Formstack). All rights reserved.

This is a customer service email.

Intellistack, 50 South Steele Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80209

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 12:33 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 08/10/26 12:33 PM

Meeting Date: 08/10/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Ashley McLeod

Organization: Engage IL

Address:

[Redacted]
IL [Redacted]

**Daytime
Phone:**

[Redacted]

Subject: Zoning-26-000007 Plank road project

Comment:

Independence also means being able to run our own business and contribute to our community. Together, we created, "Just a Dollop," our very own micro-business where we published and sell our healthy lifestyle cookbook! Having our own business gives us a sense of pride, purpose and financial freedom. We love being an active part of the local workforce.

Please support the plank road project for more of my friends with disabilities to live in our communities in Dupage County.



© 2026 Intellistack (formerly Formstack). All rights reserved.

This is a customer service email.

Intellistack, 50 South Steele Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80209

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 12:35 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 08/10/26 12:35 PM

Meeting Date: 08/11/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Ruth Pligge

Organization: Engage IL

Address:

[Redacted]
IL [Redacted]

**Daytime
Phone:**

[Redacted]

Subject: Zoning-26-000007 Plank road project

Comment:

We love taking exciting team business trips together to talk about our work! At the end of the day, we love coming home to each other and enjoying the energy of downtown Naperville. The community and our support staff truly empower us to thrive as independent adult women and business owners. We are proud to live, work and succeed here. Thank you.

Please support the plank road project for more of my friends with disabilities to live in our communities in Dupage County.



© 2026 Intellistack (formerly Formstack). All rights reserved.

This is a customer service email.

Intellistack, 50 South Steele Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80209

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 2:02 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 08/10/26 2:01 PM

Meeting Date: 08/11/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Jill Henry

Organization:

Address: [REDACTED]
Naperville, IL [REDACTED]

**Daytime
Phone:** [REDACTED]

Subject: Proposed Naperville Ridge Development and Environmental/Stormwater Concerns

Comment: Dear Chairman and Members of the DuPage County Board,

My name is Jill Henry, and I am a resident living adjacent to the Dupage Water Commission property located off of 75th Street that is proposed for the Naperville Ridge development.

I am submitting this public comment because I believe there are several issues involving the project's stormwater documentation, wetland evaluation, and environmental impacts that should be addressed before this property is transferred and developed.

The proposed Naperville Ridge development involves 94 residential units on approximately 32 acres of land currently owned by the DuPage Water Commission. Because this property contains mature woodland and wetland resources, I believe the County has a responsibility to ensure that the environmental and stormwater information being relied upon is complete, accurate, and internally consistent. Below are some of my concerns that I would like addressed:

1. There is a discrepancy in the stated development scope

One of my primary concerns is an inconsistency in the project's stormwater documentation.

Exhibit 1A, Page 1 of 2, describes the proposal as 43 duplexes totaling 86 units. However, elsewhere in the Preliminary Stormwater Management Report, the proposed development is described as 47 duplexes totaling 94 units, and the 94-unit figure is also used in the report's summary.

That is an eight-unit difference in the stated development scope.

I believe the County should require the applicant to explain and correct this discrepancy and confirm that the stormwater calculations, impervious-area calculations, detention requirements, and other engineering analyses were based on the correct and final development plan.

2. The wetland determination deserves independent verification

The report states that the wetlands on the property will not be disturbed. However, the report also discusses wetland impacts being submitted to and reviewed by DuPage County during Final Engineering.

Given the environmental characteristics of this property, I believe the County should independently verify:

The exact location and boundaries of all wetlands;
The methodology used to delineate those wetlands;
The hydrologic conditions present when the wetlands were evaluated;
Whether any wetland hydrology will be altered by grading, drainage, detention, or development;
Whether any wetland areas or associated buffers will be indirectly affected;
and

Whether the conclusion that wetlands will not be disturbed remains valid under the current development plan.

If there is any question about the accuracy or completeness of the wetland delineation, it should be resolved before trees are removed or the property is permanently graded.

3. The amount of proposed disturbance makes the stormwater analysis particularly important

The Stormwater Management Report identifies approximately 21.75 acres of disturbance associated with the development.

That represents a substantial portion of the 32 acre property.

With that level of grading and site alteration, I believe it is particularly important that the County verify the existing drainage patterns before accepting conclusions about downstream benefits.

The report makes statements that the proposed improvements will provide a significant drainage improvement and regional benefit and will significantly reduce downstream flows.

Those are important conclusions, but they should be independently verified, particularly because the project will substantially alter the existing wooded landscape and drainage characteristics.

4. Existing drainage entering the property should be carefully evaluated

The report identifies existing development south of the property and an area of approximately 0.13 acres that drains toward the site.

Given the proximity of existing homes, I believe the County should make certain that the proposed grading and stormwater system will not redirect or concentrate water toward neighboring properties.

This is particularly important for the existing homes along Wayewood that border the proposed development.

I respectfully ask the County to verify the pre-development and post-development drainage patterns and confirm that neighboring properties will not experience increased runoff, concentrated flows, ponding, or other drainage impacts as a result of the development.

5. This is publicly owned property with significant natural resources

There is also a broader public-interest issue that I believe deserves consideration.

This property is currently owned by the DuPage Water Commission. It contains mature woodland and wetland resources that, once removed or permanently altered, cannot simply be recreated.

Before publicly connected land containing these resources is transferred for private residential development, I believe the County should ensure that preservation alternatives have been adequately considered.

I am not opposed to responsible development; I am asking the County Board to make sure that this particular property is not developed before the environmental and stormwater questions have been fully answered.

I respectfully request that the County Board:

1. Require the applicant to reconcile the 86-unit versus 94-unit discrepancy in the submitted documentation.
2. Confirm that all stormwater calculations and engineering analyses are based on the correct final development plan.
3. Independently review the property's wetland delineation and confirm that all wetlands and associated hydrology have been accurately identified.
4. Verify that the proposed grading, detention, and drainage system will not negatively affect neighboring properties.
5. Review the basis for the report's conclusion that the project will provide a significant downstream drainage benefit.
6. Determine whether any wetlands or wetland hydrology could be indirectly affected by grading, drainage, or detention even if no direct wetland fill is proposed.
7. Consider whether preservation of this publicly owned property is a reasonable alternative to residential development.

Once mature woodland and wetland resources are removed or permanently altered, they cannot be restored to their existing condition.

I respectfully ask the DuPage County Board to require a thorough and independent review of these issues before the property is transferred and development proceeds.

Thank you for your time and consideration.


Sincerely,

Jill Henry

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 2:42 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 08/10/26 2:42 PM

Meeting Date: 08/10/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Becky Koziel

Organization:

Address: Clarendon Hills, IL 60514

**Daytime
Phone:**

Subject: Biometric Gun Safes

Comment:

I support Andrew Honig's initiative to find funding support for the purchase of biometric safes for DuPage county residents. Biometric safes allow a gun owner quick access to their device, while preventing access by children or others in the home. Safe gun storage reduces the risk of unintentional gun deaths and injuries as well as reduces the risk of suicide.

Putting money toward funding gun safes for the community will inherently protect people who own guns and their families.

Please consider supporting this program.
Thank you




© 2026 Intellistack (formerly Formstack). All rights reserved.
This is a customer service email.
Intellistack, 50 South Steele Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80209

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 2:42 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 08/10/26 2:42 PM

Meeting Date: 08/10/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Becky Koziel

Organization:

Address: Clarendon Hills, IL 60514

**Daytime
Phone:**

Subject: Biometric Gun Safes

Comment:

I support Andrew Honig's initiative to find funding support for the purchase of biometric safes for DuPage county residents. Biometric safes allow a gun owner quick access to their device, while preventing access by children or others in the home. Safe gun storage reduces the risk of unintentional gun deaths and injuries as well as reduces the risk of suicide.

Putting money toward funding gun safes for the community will inherently protect people who own guns and their families.

Please consider supporting this program.
Thank you




© 2026 Intellistack (formerly Formstack). All rights reserved.
This is a customer service email.
Intellistack, 50 South Steele Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80209

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 2:57 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 08/10/26 2:57 PM

Meeting Date: 08/10/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Rowena Reid

Organization: Self

Address: [REDACTED]
Hinsdale, IL [REDACTED]

**Daytime
Phone:** [REDACTED]

Subject: Biometric Gun Safes

Comment:

After I heard about Andrew Honig's initiative to purchase biometric gun safes for my friends & neighbors, I wondered why no one else has suggested this before. Biometric safes keep our children safe from incorrectly stored firearms, while still allowing legal firearms owners easy access. I worry about my children on future play dates with so many firearms stored improperly. This seems like a very common sense and non-partisian way to keep DuPage County residents safe.

I support this program and hope you will consider supporting this initiative too.
Many thanks,
Rowena Reid



© 2026 Intellistack (formerly Formstack). All rights reserved.


This is a customer service email.

Intellistack, 50 South Steele Street, Suite 500, Denver, CO 80209

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 3:14 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 08/10/26 3:14 PM

Meeting Date: 08/11/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Franz and Anna Kissel

Organization: Engage IL

Address: Naperville,, IL

**Daytime
Phone:**

Subject: Zoning - 26- 00000 7 Plank Road Project


Comment:

We ask you to please support the Plank Road Project so that more people with developmental disabilities are able to live in their own homes and communities where they work, recreate and are close to their families and friends !

Blakely, Heidi

From: noreply@formstack.com
Sent: Monday, August 10, 2026 3:19 PM
To: Web Master; County Board Public Comment
Subject: PublicComment

[Caution: This email originated outside Dupagecounty.gov. Do not click links or open attachments unless you recognize the sender and know the content is safe.]



Formstack Submission For: **PublicComment**

Submitted at 08/10/26 3:18 PM

Meeting Date: 08/11/26

Meeting: DuPage County Board

Name: Puja Patel

Organization:

Address: [Redacted]
Clarendon Hills, IL [Redacted]

Daytime Phone: [Redacted]

Subject: Biometrics Safes

Comment: I support the initiative to fund biometrics safes for residents. Secure firearm storage directly correlates with lower rates of household accidents and youth self-harm.