	Page 1
1	BEFORE THE DU PAGE COUNTY
	ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS
2	
3	June recommendation Meeting.) Z23-069, Z24-006,
	Z24-017, Z24-022, T-1-24
4	
	June 6, 2024
5	5:30 p.m.
6	PROCEEDINGS HAD and testimony
7	taken before the DU PAGE COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF
8	APPEALS, taken at the DuPage County
9	Administration Building, 421 North County Farm
10	Road, Wheaton, Illinois, before LINDA M.
11	CIOSEK, C.S.R. a Notary Public qualified and
12	commissioned for the State of Illinois.
13	
14	BOARD MEMBERS PRESENT:
15	MR. ROBERT KARTHOLL, Chairman.
16	MR. JACK MURPHY, Commissioner.
17	MR. DENNIS MORAN, Commissioner.
18	MR. BARRY KETTER, Commissioner,
19	MR. CARL SCHULTZ, Commissioner.
20	MS. JANICE ANDERSON, Commissioner.
21	MR. ZAIN RAHMAN, Commissioner.
22	
23	
24	

	Page 2		Page 4
1	ALSO PRESENT:	1	keeping beehives in DuPage County. And while
2	MS. JESSICA INFELISE DATZMAN, Planning &		4-H projects, which were mentioned on the site
3	Zoning.		for educational enrichment are valuable, we're
4	MR. PAUL HOSS, Planning & Zoning.	4	concerned that that could lead to larger
5		5	possibility of beekeeping, and that might be
6		6	troublesome.
7		7	The specific reason I'm here
8		8	today is to raise that concern with increasing
9		9	the availability for honey bees in
10		10	unincorporated DuPage because they are actually
11		11	a threat to our native bee populations.
12		12	Honey Bees are an introduced
13		13	species, they are a managed livestock.
14		14	They are not endangered. They are tended for
15			and cared for by their owners. The current
16			zoning you have is appropriate with larger
17			tracts of land. It recognizes bees as a farm
18			animal like a chicken; however, unlike
19			chickens, the 300,000 worker bees per hive
20			leave their enclosure, they forage widely, and
21			they are capable of actually foraging two miles
22			from the hive, which creates a 12-mile forage
23			zone. They are gathering food on public and
24		24	private land. The [unintelligible] Society is
	Page 3		Page 5
1	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: This is a meeting of		the largest association that studies insects.
	the DuPage County Zoning Board of Appeals. I'm		In fact, they have stated that one study
	calling the meeting to order and I'll indicate		1
	for the record that all members of the Zoning		single hive collects as much pollen as could
	Board of Appeals are present in person.	5	support 100,000 native solitary bees. Research
6	The next item on the agenda for		shows that native bee populations are declining
	the meeting is public comment, and I understand		due to the loss of their available food, in
	there are there is one person who wishes to		addition to disease. And we know that Honey
	make public comment. If you would like to step		Bees are known for the spread of diseases and
	up to the microphone. You've made public		parasites. The Rusty Patched Bumble Bee is a listed has that's and angered and others are
	comment before me in the past, and so you		listed bee that's endangered and others are under consideration for that listing as well.
12	understand it's limited to three minutes. MS. SCHMIDT: I do, sir. Thank you very	12	Native bees are effective
	much for letting me speak today. My name's		cross-pollinators. For example, Bumble Bees
	Connie Schmidt, I'm a resident of Warrenville,		can cross-pollinate and Honey Bees cannot. So
	I'm also the chair of the River Prairie Group		Bumble Bees are what's needed for your
	Sierra Club. I am here as a concerned citizen		tomatoes, your eggplant, peppers, things like
	and the chair of the Sierra Club in DuPage		that. Native bees are effective excuse me,
	County. And we are concerned that healthy		
	native habitats are dwindling, and as a result	20	
	many species are in remission as well. After		populated by insects pollinated by insects,
	research, I am after researching your		they're pollinated by wind.
	website, it has been difficult to find wording	23	So beehives, as you know,
L.)			
	on the changes that are being proposed for	24	they're not allowed on forest preserve

Page 8
1 of habitat by far.
2 And what she didn't mention
3 is that other than Bumble Bees there are
4 thousands of species of native bees, most of
5 which only pollinate a single species of
6 flower. And if you wipe that flower out, by
7 loss of habitat they're gone, too, and they
8 will never come back.
9 Honey Bees mostly gather
10 nectar from plants that produce a lot of
11 nectar, mostly from weeds and things along
12 roadsides. These are not the these are not
13 the plants that rely on native bees.
14 And I might also point out
15 that we're not native either. So, I'll rest my
16 case. Thank you.
17 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, thank you very
18 much. I just want to point out for the record
19 that no public comment whatsoever made during
20 the open meetings required public comment
21 section is part of the hearing record for any
22 case, including tonight's text amendment;
23 however, we've discussed this with the Board in
24 the past, and in order to be, it seems to me to
Page 9 1 be appropriately fair to those who missed some 2 nicety of when to make their public comment, 3 and at which point during the hearing to make 4 the public comment, we may, if we so choose, 5 take notice of any public comment, just as we 6 can take notice of the fact that today is D- 7 Day. 8 So, having said that, I'm 9 now going to go to the next item on the agenda, 10 which is approval of the minutes. And we have 11 several minutes, all which have been circulated 12 previously. The first is the April 13 recommendation meeting. The next is 24-014, 14 All For His Glory, meeting held April 11. The 15 next is 069, Medinah Road Residences, meeting 16 held April 16. The next is well, this is a 17 typo, I think, but it's 27W121 80th Street 18 Investments, meeting held April 18th, and that 19 is Z24-017. The next is 24-022, Somerset 20 Plaza, meeting held April 25, 2024. And, 21 finally, the May recommendation meeting held 22 May 2nd, 2024. 23 Can I take if there is a

	Page 10		Page 12
1	items independently I'll do that, but may I	1	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion to deny by
2	request a motion to approve all of those	2	Mr. Ketter, is there a second to that motion?
3	minutes?	3	COMMISSIONER MURPHY: I second it.
4	COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would move to	4	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I didn't hear who
5	approve as presented.	5	seconded it, is that Mr. Murphy?
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Second.	6	COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Yes.
7	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr.	7	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded then by Mr.
8	Ketter, seconded by Ms. Anderson.	8	Murphy. Any discussion on the motion?
9	Is there any discussion on	9	I'll have to pass the microphone if there is.
10	any of those items?	10	(No response.)
11	(No response.)	11	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: There's no
12	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right, no	12	discussion on the motion? Well, generally I'll
13	discussion being offered. Let's take an all in	13	just say that I didn't find anything compelling
14	favor of the motion to approve those minutes,	14	about the case in order to reconsider my view
15	please signify by saying aye.	15	as expressed at the first hearing, and I would
16	(Whereupon, all	16	adopt those comments. There were not material
17	Commissioners responded	17	changes in the presentation which would have
18	aye.)	18	changed my opinion.
19	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Any opposed?	19	I don't know why it was
20	(No response.)	20	remanded to us, but we did our duty and held a
21	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion carries.	21	follow-up hearing.
22	That takes us to our	22	So, let's take a roll call
23	recommendation cases for this evening. We have	23	vote on the motion to deny, please.
24	A through E, the first is Zoning Petition 069,	24	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter?
	Page 11		Page 13
	the Medinah Road Residences, a Bloomingdale	1	COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye.
	Township case. And I would ask someone to make	2	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy?
3	a motion.	3	COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye.
4	COMMISSIONER KETTER: I'd make a motion	4	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran?
	on this to deny. It was remanded. While it	5	COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye.
	was a great presentation on remanding it to the	6	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz?
	original presentation, I saw no reason to	7	COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye.
	change my opinion. I think it's just not it	8	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson?
	doesn't fit into there, it's not harmonious	9	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: No.
	just with the surroundings.	10	
11	Lately Living Word and	11	COMMISSIONER RAHMAN: Aye.
	workforce housing has been coming up	12	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Chairman
	repeatedly. I went back and reread Living		Kartholl?
	Word. I don't think that applies here because	14	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Aye.
	there are some what do they call,	15	The motion passes by a vote
	exceptions, and I think that would take it out		of 6 in favor and 1 opposed. So it's 6 to
	of that.		deny.
18	And then the last one is	18	And that takes us then to
	part of this project is based on workforce		the Sur Mac Builders case. This is a
	housing and there is no bonafide workforce		Naperville Township case. It is a conditional
	housing ordinance in DuPage yet, so I am		use for the duplex to remain on the subject
1 00	hesitant to make any type of finding that would	22	property.
23	bind the County. For those reasons, I would vote no on the approval.	23	Motion offered by someone from the area?

1	Page 14		Page 16
1	-	1	having satisfied the conditional use standards,
	motion to approve.		that I would approve it.
3		3	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I think this is an
	microphone? I can't see who's talking.	4	abomination. It came before us as a
5			conditional use, but there was no use cited in
	motion to approve. Is there a second?		the ordinance that it could have been a
7		7	conditional use to, so it was restyled as a
8	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Ms. Anderson makes a		conditional use for a planned development. At
9	second to the motion to approve.		the hearing the attorney was unable to describe
10		10	how is this a planned development. To
11	COMMISSIONER KETTER: My concern is it	11	legitimize the mistake made by these investors
12	seemed like the owners came in originally, they	12	is wrong. And if we do it and it is challenged
13	were very cavalier, saying we should get this.	13	in court, it will set a terrible precedent
14	Then there was a presentation and a	14	which will affect other cases.
15	representation as they went down the list to	15	Having said that, there is
16	find something. But, for compelling reasons,	16	some appetite I understand to have this
17	maybe by I could be swayed.	17	approved, and so I think it should be I
18	My other problem with this	18	think we should establish, if we approve it,
19	is they're a business, LLC. They went into	19	some conditions because, number one, it's my
20	this as a business, they bought this property	20	understanding that the County Board will soon
21	to make money and they didn't do due diligence,	21	consider text amendments which would authorize
22	and it does not fall into a conditional use.	22	a case like this in this zoning district, or
23	It's a variance. And I don't even know if I'd	23	similar zoning district. So, if it's to be
24	vote for the variance, but a conditional use	24	authorized, we should put some conditions on
	Page 15		Page 17
1	doesn't go. But I'm amenable to hearing some	1	it. I think the condition should include a
2	type of argument to maybe for them for some	2	three-year deadline, three-year condition
3	type of	3	
4	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Just as a point of	4	years would give time for the County to
	clarification, it was originally brought as a	5	consider the text amendments.
6			
1	variance and then restyled as a conditional	6	Next, I think we should I
7	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us	6 7	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if
7 8	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development.	6 7 8	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor.
7 8 9	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we	6 7 8 9	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is
7 8 9 10	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some	6 7 8 9 10	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property
7 8 9 10 11	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do	6 7 8 9 10 11	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single
7 8 9 10 11 12	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when you're looking at it they're not changing the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as a pre-existing nonconforming use.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when you're looking at it they're not changing the building itself so there's not affecting light	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as a pre-existing nonconforming use. And then, finally, I think
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when you're looking at it they're not changing the building itself so there's not affecting light and air to the community. It's not going to	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as a pre-existing nonconforming use. And then, finally, I think so that an investor who is a sophisticated
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when you're looking at it they're not changing the building itself so there's not affecting light and air to the community. It's not going to significantly change the traffic. When you go	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as a pre-existing nonconforming use. And then, finally, I think so that an investor who is a sophisticated investor and does check title and does things
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when you're looking at it they're not changing the building itself so there's not affecting light and air to the community. It's not going to significantly change the traffic. When you go down this list, it looks like it fits. The	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as a pre-existing nonconforming use. And then, finally, I think so that an investor who is a sophisticated investor and does check title and does things like get a zoning endorsement from the title
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when you're looking at it they're not changing the building itself so there's not affecting light and air to the community. It's not going to significantly change the traffic. When you go down this list, it looks like it fits. The fact that it had been a duplex for most of its	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as a pre-existing nonconforming use. And then, finally, I think so that an investor who is a sophisticated investor and does check title and does things like get a zoning endorsement from the title company, which most investors do, they will
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when you're looking at it they're not changing the building itself so there's not affecting light and air to the community. It's not going to significantly change the traffic. When you go down this list, it looks like it fits. The fact that it had been a duplex for most of its existence, I'm not sure about every moment, but	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as a pre-existing nonconforming use. And then, finally, I think so that an investor who is a sophisticated investor and does check title and does things like get a zoning endorsement from the title company, which most investors do, they will if we record this relief, it will appear in the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when you're looking at it they're not changing the building itself so there's not affecting light and air to the community. It's not going to significantly change the traffic. When you go down this list, it looks like it fits. The fact that it had been a duplex for most of its existence, I'm not sure about every moment, but certainly most of it, and there is ample	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as a pre-existing nonconforming use. And then, finally, I think so that an investor who is a sophisticated investor and does check title and does things like get a zoning endorsement from the title company, which most investors do, they will if we record this relief, it will appear in the record for anybody who does any research
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when you're looking at it they're not changing the building itself so there's not affecting light and air to the community. It's not going to significantly change the traffic. When you go down this list, it looks like it fits. The fact that it had been a duplex for most of its existence, I'm not sure about every moment, but certainly most of it, and there is ample evidence that it had been used as a duplex for	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as a pre-existing nonconforming use. And then, finally, I think so that an investor who is a sophisticated investor and does check title and does things like get a zoning endorsement from the title company, which most investors do, they will if we record this relief, it will appear in the record for anybody who does any research whatsoever if the property is resold.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	use, as I recall. It clearly now is before us as a conditional use for a planned development. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Yeah, when we were looking at it before I felt there was some questions and specific legal things, but I do think that they met the standards for a conditional use in terms of, you know, when you're looking at it they're not changing the building itself so there's not affecting light and air to the community. It's not going to significantly change the traffic. When you go down this list, it looks like it fits. The fact that it had been a duplex for most of its existence, I'm not sure about every moment, but certainly most of it, and there is ample	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Next, I think we should I think the relief, if granted, should expire if the property is sold to a new investor. Next or if it is discontinued as a duplex, because this property has gone back and forth from duplex to single family over its history. And, yes, a long time ago it was legal and it existed as a duplex as a pre-existing nonconforming use. And then, finally, I think so that an investor who is a sophisticated investor and does check title and does things like get a zoning endorsement from the title company, which most investors do, they will if we record this relief, it will appear in the record for anybody who does any research

5 (Pages 14 - 17)

1	Page 18		Page 20
1 1	time limits and recording and my other item	1	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Chairman
	about discontinued uses in duplex or sale of	2	Kartholl?
1	the property be considered as additional	3	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Aye.
1	conditions. But, I was holding my piece, so	4	The motion passes by a vote
	now I need to hear from the maker of the	5	of 6 in favor and 1 opposed and will be
6	motion.		recommended to the County Development Committee
7	COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I'm agreeable to		accordingly.
8	all those amendments to the conditional uses.	8	Then if I can find my
9	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. And Ms.	9	agenda, we have Zoning Petition 24-17, 27W121
10	Anderson?		80th Street. That is the conditional use for
11	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes.	11	group quarters. Can I take a motion on that
12	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Also agreed.	12	case?
13	Any other further comments?	13	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I'll make that
14	COMMISSIONER KETTER: Can we just	14	motion to approve.
15	highlight one thing, too?	15	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Ms. Anderson moves
16	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: You can.	16	to approve.
17	COMMISSIONER KETTER: They kept arguing	17	COMMISSIONER KETTER: I'll second that.
18	that it was either legal nonconforming or	18	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Mr. Ketter seconds
19	grandfathered. Once that for sale took place,	19	the motion to approve.
20	those ended, so I'd like that somehow put in	20	Is there any discussion on
21	the deed so there is no misunderstanding.	21	this motion?
22	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, now I'm	22	COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they
23	confused about that. If it's recorded, how are	23	proved up the basis for this conditional
24	we going what's going to be recorded is the	24	request. I don't think we need to put another
	Page 19		Page 21
1	zoning relief that we grant.	1	term limit on this. They proved themselves up
2	COMMISSIONER KETTER: What is that, a		
	COMINISSIONER RETTER. What is that, a	2	on the first conditional use, and I think
3	granting of a conditional use for three years?		on the first conditional use, and I think they've done it, and if any violations would
3		3 4	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available
4	granting of a conditional use for three years?	3 4	they've done it, and if any violations would
4 5	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you	3 4 5 6	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or
4 5 6	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires,	3 4 5 6	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years.
4 5 6	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search	3 4 5 6 7 8	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about
4 5 6 7 8 9	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the	3 4 5 6 7 8 9	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our
4 5 6 7 8 9 10	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions,
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people
4 5 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think Ms.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think Ms. Anderson and I assumed those were the general
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think Ms. Anderson and I assumed those were the general ones they would go on each time.
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think Ms. Anderson and I assumed those were the general ones they would go on each time. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes, but I feel
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think Ms. Anderson and I assumed those were the general ones they would go on each time. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes, but I feel for the neighbors, I want I want to approve
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think Ms. Anderson and I assumed those were the general ones they would go on each time. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes, but I feel for the neighbors, I want I want to approve this development as is. I hear your point, but
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think Ms. Anderson and I assumed those were the general ones they would go on each time. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes, but I feel for the neighbors, I want I want to approve this development as is. I hear your point, but I think it's important to have the neighbors
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think Ms. Anderson and I assumed those were the general ones they would go on each time. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes, but I feel for the neighbors, I want I want to approve this development as is. I hear your point, but I think it's important to have the neighbors speak up. If there are, then this gives them
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter? COMMISSIONER KETTER: Nay.	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think Ms. Anderson and I assumed those were the general ones they would go on each time. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes, but I feel for the neighbors, I want I want to approve this development as is. I hear your point, but I think it's important to have the neighbors speak up. If there are, then this gives them many remedies, but this gives them a chance for
4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	granting of a conditional use for three years? CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah, so that you see conditional use, three years, it expires, et cetera, et cetera, so if you go to search the title, you'll find it. COMMISSIONER KETTER: Okay. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. What the County Board does, I don't know. But let's take a roll call on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter?	3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	they've done it, and if any violations would come up, they have adequate remedies available to the neighbors to seek action. So I'd say grant it without any revisiting in the three or five years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: And what about expiration if the property is sold? All of our standard conditional use expiration conditions, if the property is sold, if the operation or the facility is operated by different people than we've heard from with their expertise. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think Ms. Anderson and I assumed those were the general ones they would go on each time. COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Yes, but I feel for the neighbors, I want I want to approve this development as is. I hear your point, but I think it's important to have the neighbors speak up. If there are, then this gives them

	Page 22		Page 24
1	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I don't understand,	1	Kartholl?
2	that.	2	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Aye.
3	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Just I think	3	The motion passes by a vote
4	Barry's it can go to, you know, for a year.	4	of 7 in favor and none opposed. Thank you.
5	Just keep it the same as it was, they've got to	5	And then I don't know, I
6	come back if they do stuff.	6	guess Somerset Plaza. I lost my agenda, but
7	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, okay.	7	I've got the cheat sheet. The next item on the
8	I understand what you're saying. I agree with	8	agenda is 022, Somerset Plaza, LLC. It's a
9	Ms. Anderson. I think this is a fantastic	9	request for conditional use to allow auto sales
10	operation, very presented in a manner that's	10	with auto repair in a B-1 Local Business
11	very expert. I do believe that for conditional	11	District.
12	uses we should have time limits on the other	12	Anybody want to make a
13	items that are enumerated for expiration like	13	motion on this case?
14	sale, et cetera. Three years might be a little	14	COMMISSIONER RAHMAN: I present a motion
15	short. And I know they don't want to come	15	to deny because the petitioner failed to
16	back, but you know, it's fair to the neighbors	16	provide
17	as you point out. What number would you	17	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Hold on one second.
18	suggest as	18	COMMISSIONER RAHMAN: I present a motion
19	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I would look to	19	to deny because the petitioner failed to
20	the staff on that, but I would go to five	20	provide a detailed plan on managing and
21	years, from three to five.	21	maintaining the auto sales and repair operation
22	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: That seems okay.	22	without negatively impacting the broader
23	Probably none of us will be on the Board in	23	community, businesses, and public spaces that
24	five years. Okay, any other comments?	24	are around.
	Page 23		Page 25
1	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five.	1	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman
2	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of	-	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second?
2 3	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing	-	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman
2 3 4	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr.
2 3 4 5	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a	2 3 4	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it.
2 3 4 5 6	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff	2 3 4 5 6	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they
2 3 4 5 6 7	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that.	2 3 4 5 6 7	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good
2 3 4 5 6 7 8	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further	2 3 4 5 6 7 8	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and that's why I would be against it.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter? COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and that's why I would be against it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: No further
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter? COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and that's why I would be against it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: No further discussion?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter? COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and that's why I would be against it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: No further discussion? (No response.)
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter? COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and that's why I would be against it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: No further discussion? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We'll take a roll
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter? COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and that's why I would be against it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: No further discussion? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We'll take a roll call on the notion to deny.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter? COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and that's why I would be against it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: No further discussion? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We'll take a roll call on the notion to deny. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Rahman?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter? COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and that's why I would be against it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: No further discussion? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We'll take a roll call on the notion to deny. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Rahman? COMMISSIONER RAHMAN: Aye.
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter? COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Rahman?	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and that's why I would be against it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: No further discussion? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We'll take a roll call on the notion to deny. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Rahman? COMMISSIONER RAHMAN: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter?
2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	COMMISSIONER MORAN: I agree, the five. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We do have some of those items included. So, we now are imposing an additional condition of a five-year limitation, which would be incorporated as a part of existing condition 2 in our staff report, so everybody understands that. Now, if there's no further discussion, may I take a roll call vote on the motion to approve subject to those various conditions? MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson? COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter? COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy? COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran? COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye.	2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Mr. Rahman to deny. Is there a second? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I would second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think they should be separated. It was a good presentation by the attorney, but it just didn't seem right to me and that you were going to bring cars in from auctions, repair the engines and then sell them, but down the block they have a lot. I think do the engine work there, put the lot as their sales area, and that's why I would be against it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: No further discussion? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: We'll take a roll call on the notion to deny. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Rahman? COMMISSIONER RAHMAN: Aye.

7 (Pages 22 - 25)

Veritext Legal Solutions

Base 24	Dage 29
Page 26 1 COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye.	Page 28 1 concern. I've had a couple of ZHO cases where
2 MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran?	2 somebody wants to have video gaming, you know,
3 COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye.	3 I know I can get six licenses, but I got to
4 MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz?	4 have a restaurant. Please describe your
5 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye.	5 restaurant. I got an Igloo cooler, my wife
6 MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson?	6 made some sandwiches, I wrapped them up and if
7 COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: No.	7 anybody wants them, I'll sell them to them.
8 MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Chairman	8 Done. I don't want to legitimize that. I'd
9 Kartholl?	9 like to hear staff comments about how we can
10 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Aye.	10 resolve that issue.
11 The motion to deny passes by	11 Anybody else want to comment
12 a vote of 6 in favor and 1 opposed.	12 on this point? I know we're going to discuss
13 And now where am I? Text	13 bees, we'll probably discuss other parts of
14 amendment, I guess. The next item on the	14 this, but let's hear from staff about this
15 agenda is consideration of T-1-24, the text	15 particular aspect of the text amendment.
16 amendment.	16 MR. HOSS: So video gaming is licensed
17 So, let's take a motion on	17 by the State of Illinois. One of the
18 the text amendment in order to open discussion.	18 requirements to get a video gaming device
19 COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I make that	19 license from the State is that you have to get
20 motion for open discussion to approve.	20 a liquor license from your local community, and
21 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion by Ms.	21 that liquor license has to be a license to pour
22 Anderson to approve. Second?	22 liquor.
23 COMMISSIONER KETTER: I'll second it	23 There are several different
24 subject to discussion and some change possibly.	24 types of liquor licenses the County has. One's
Page 27	Page 29
	-
1 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is
	1 package, those are your convenience stores; one
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the video gambling that our text amendment is now saying it's for cafes. Municipalities are saying unless you have the equivalent of some 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants are the only restaurants where you can also
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the video gambling that our text amendment is now saying it's for cafes. Municipalities are saying unless you have the equivalent of some type, be it a small restaurant, you shouldn't 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants are the only restaurants where you can also have a pour liquor license.
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the video gambling that our text amendment is now saying it's for cafes. Municipalities are saying unless you have the equivalent of some type, be it a small restaurant, you shouldn't they're not approving it, and they're not 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants are the only restaurants where you can also have a pour liquor license. In the Zoning Ordinance, the
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the video gambling that our text amendment is now saying it's for cafes. Municipalities are saying unless you have the equivalent of some type, be it a small restaurant, you shouldn't they're not approving it, and they're not approving it by just not giving a liquor 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants are the only restaurants where you can also have a pour liquor license. In the Zoning Ordinance, the
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the video gambling that our text amendment is now saying it's for cafes. Municipalities are saying unless you have the equivalent of some type, be it a small restaurant, you shouldn't they're not approving it, and they're not approving it by just not giving a liquor license then and then not helping with the 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants are the only restaurants where you can also have a pour liquor license. In the Zoning Ordinance, the only place you can have a class B or C restaurant by right is in a B-2 or Industrial
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the video gambling that our text amendment is now saying it's for cafes. Municipalities are saying unless you have the equivalent of some type, be it a small restaurant, you shouldn't they're not approving it, and they're not approving it by just not giving a liquor license then and then not helping with the 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants are the only restaurants where you can also have a pour liquor license. In the Zoning Ordinance, the only place you can have a class B or C restaurant by right is in a B-2 or Industrial Zoning District. They are not permitted in a
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the video gambling that our text amendment is now saying it's for cafes. Municipalities are saying unless you have the equivalent of some type, be it a small restaurant, you shouldn't they're not approving it, and they're not approving it by just not giving a liquor license then and then not helping with the state giving the gambling. So I think that could be tweaked unless there's maybe Paul 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants are the only restaurants where you can also have a pour liquor license. In the Zoning Ordinance, the only place you can have a class B or C restaurant by right is in a B-2 or Industrial Zoning District. They are not permitted in a
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the video gambling that our text amendment is now saying it's for cafes. Municipalities are saying unless you have the equivalent of some type, be it a small restaurant, you shouldn't they're not approving it, and they're not approving it by just not giving a liquor license then and then not helping with the state giving the gambling. So I think that could be tweaked unless there's maybe Paul has a rational basis that clears it up for me. 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants; there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants are the only restaurants where you can also have a pour liquor license. In the Zoning Ordinance, the only place you can have a class B or C restaurant by right is in a B-2 or Industrial Zoning District. They are not permitted in a B-1 Zoning District. You're allowed to have
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the video gambling that our text amendment is now saying it's for cafes. Municipalities are saying unless you have the equivalent of some type, be it a small restaurant, you shouldn't they're not approving it, and they're not approving it by just not giving a liquor license then and then not helping with the state giving the gambling. So I think that could be tweaked unless there's maybe Paul has a rational basis that clears it up for me. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Before we hear from 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants, there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants are the only restaurants where you can also have a pour liquor license. In the Zoning Ordinance, the only place you can have a class B or C restaurant by right is in a B-2 or Industrial Zoning District. They are not permitted in a B-1 Zoning District. You're allowed to have takeout and class A restaurants, which have no liquor licenses associated with them as of
 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay, seconded conditional use seconded by Mr. Ketter. COMMISSIONER KETTER: We'll revisit it in three years. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Yeah. So let's open discussion. How should we begin here? Much of it is straightforward, but what points does anyone want to make? COMMISSIONER KETTER: I think it's coming up, and we alluded to it, it's with the video gambling that our text amendment is now saying it's for cafes. Municipalities are saying unless you have the equivalent of some type, be it a small restaurant, you shouldn't they're not approving it, and they're not approving it by just not giving a liquor license then and then not helping with the state giving the gambling. So I think that could be tweaked unless there's maybe Paul has a rational basis that clears it up for me. 	 package, those are your convenience stores; one is pour. The only pour license that is available in DuPage County is for a restaurant or a tavern. When you get a liquor license to pour for a restaurant or tavern and you juxtapose that against the land use consideration in the Zoning Ordinance, the only land use where you could have that liquor license is in a restaurant or tavern. In the Zoning Ordinance, restaurants; there are five different types of restaurants: There are carryout, takeouts, and there are class A and B and C restaurants. Class B and C restaurants are the only restaurants where you can also have a pour liquor license. In the Zoning Ordinance, the only place you can have a class B or C restaurant by right is in a B-2 or Industrial Zoning District. They are not permitted in a B-1 Zoning District. You're allowed to have

		D		P
	1	Page 30 parking regulations for class for takeout,	1	Page 32 serve food and you would have to get a liquor
		for Class A, B and C restaurants. The parking		license to pour, but your parking requirements
		requirements for Class B and C, which again is		would be dramatically less than the parking
		where you can have a liquor license to pour,		requirements for a typical class B or C
		have a requirement of 15 parking spaces or 20		restaurant. So that's why we're distinguishing
		parking spaces per thousand square feet of		between a video gaming cafe and the standard
	7	gross floor area of your restaurant facility.		restaurant. And the main reason there, once
	8	What we have found over time		again, is that parking for a restaurant would
		is that when someone wants a video gaming		be 15 to 20 parking spaces per thousand, for a
		license, they are not interested in having a		video cafe it would be 4 per thousand.
		restaurant, but the only license that is	11	What we have found in some
		available equates to a restaurant or tavern.		of the testimony that has been submitted at
		So what we have found is the practical		public hearings where video gaming cafe I'm
		application of these licenses is that the		sorry, where video gaming facilities have come
		County has issued both Class A I'm sorry,		in and talked with the County is that these
		Class A package licenses and Class B liquor		type of facilities don't need the typical 15 or
		licenses to an establishment without going		20 parking spaces per thousand because of the
		through the zoning process in some instances.		way they are operate. There are metrics that
		We have found that where those situations have		have been submitted that show they are
		occurred, whether where those situations		consistent with, A, the typical parking
		have occurred, we have had no complaints, no		requirements for retail-type establishments.
		issues with parking, no issues with the		And in the places where they would be allowed
		relationship of restaurants and taverns		in the B-1 and B-2 Zoning Districts in the
		relative to the gaming activities that are		County, they're typically in strip shopping
-		Page 31		Page 33
	1	going on in those facilities. And when I say	1	centers where the type of activities that occur
		no issues, there are no policing issues, there		in the strip shopping centers occur at
	3	are no liquor license violations, there are no		different times than the video gaming cafes
	4	zoning issues relative to, for instance, people		would operate. We've seen testimony and
	5	calling and complaining about lack of parking,		
	6		5	evidence presented by folks that have done the
		not enough spaces for parking.		evidence presented by folks that have done the due diligence on that.
	7	not enough spaces for parking. So practically what we have		
	'		6 7	due diligence on that.
	8	So practically what we have	6 7 8	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this
	, 8 9	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments	6 7 8 9	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from
1	8 9 10	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor	6 7 8 9 10	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and
1	8 9 10	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created.	6 7 8 9 10 11	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a
1 1 1 1	8 9 10 11 12 13	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the	6 7 8 9 10 11 12	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary
1 1 1 1 1	8 9 10 11 12 13	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the County's liquor control codes and the County	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary activities that are associated with them don't
1 1 1 1 1 1	8 9 10 11 12 13 14	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the County's liquor control codes and the County zoning codes relative to the ability to have	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary activities that are associated with them don't need, for instance, as much parking as a
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the County's liquor control codes and the County zoning codes relative to the ability to have video gaming in establishments, we believe that	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary activities that are associated with them don't need, for instance, as much parking as a typical restaurant would have. And that's why
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the County's liquor control codes and the County zoning codes relative to the ability to have video gaming in establishments, we believe that creating a standalone land use where you can	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary activities that are associated with them don't need, for instance, as much parking as a typical restaurant would have. And that's why we've separated the gaming cafes out from the restaurants. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. Thank you for
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the County's liquor control codes and the County zoning codes relative to the ability to have video gaming in establishments, we believe that creating a standalone land use where you can have both a restaurant and video gaming devices	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary activities that are associated with them don't need, for instance, as much parking as a typical restaurant would have. And that's why we've separated the gaming cafes out from the restaurants. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. Thank you for
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the County's liquor control codes and the County zoning codes relative to the ability to have video gaming in establishments, we believe that creating a standalone land use where you can have both a restaurant and video gaming devices in the same area without some of the other	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary activities that are associated with them don't need, for instance, as much parking as a typical restaurant would have. And that's why we've separated the gaming cafes out from the restaurants. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. Thank you for the explanation. It seems to me that we would
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the County's liquor control codes and the County zoning codes relative to the ability to have video gaming in establishments, we believe that creating a standalone land use where you can have both a restaurant and video gaming devices in the same area without some of the other requirements that are associated with a typical	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary activities that are associated with them don't need, for instance, as much parking as a typical restaurant would have. And that's why we've separated the gaming cafes out from the restaurants. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. Thank you for the explanation. It seems to me that we would expect nothing other than the operator of a
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the County's liquor control codes and the County zoning codes relative to the ability to have video gaming in establishments, we believe that creating a standalone land use where you can have both a restaurant and video gaming devices in the same area without some of the other requirements that are associated with a typical restaurant is the acceptable way to go.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary activities that are associated with them don't need, for instance, as much parking as a typical restaurant would have. And that's why we've separated the gaming cafes out from the restaurants. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. Thank you for the explanation. It seems to me that we would expect nothing other than the operator of a strip center to say to give the testimony
1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 1 2 2 2	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the County's liquor control codes and the County zoning codes relative to the ability to have video gaming in establishments, we believe that creating a standalone land use where you can have both a restaurant and video gaming devices in the same area without some of the other requirements that are associated with a typical restaurant is the acceptable way to go. And with establishing these cafe licenses, they	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary activities that are associated with them don't need, for instance, as much parking as a typical restaurant would have. And that's why we've separated the gaming cafes out from the restaurants. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. Thank you for the explanation. It seems to me that we would expect nothing other than the operator of a strip center to say to give the testimony that you gave that says we don't need that much
$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\1\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\\2\end{array} $	8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	So practically what we have found is that where we have had establishments that have had both Class A and Class B liquor licenses to sell package liquor and also to pour package liquor, there have been no actual instances where problems have been created. So, in order to correct the County's liquor control codes and the County zoning codes relative to the ability to have video gaming in establishments, we believe that creating a standalone land use where you can have both a restaurant and video gaming devices in the same area without some of the other requirements that are associated with a typical restaurant is the acceptable way to go.	6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	due diligence on that. So, the bottom line is this is not a way to get video gaming out from underneath still being able to serve food and serve liquor, it just puts them into a different category where some of the ancillary activities that are associated with them don't need, for instance, as much parking as a typical restaurant would have. And that's why we've separated the gaming cafes out from the restaurants. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. Thank you for the explanation. It seems to me that we would expect nothing other than the operator of a strip center to say to give the testimony

	D 24	P 26
1 there is great value in public hearings, so to	Page 34	Page 36 on a residential zoned property unless you are
2 make this a matter of right avoids public		considered an agricultural use. You have to
3 hearing. Probably, in my view, a mistake.		have five acres of land, and the primary use is
4 It also seems to me that		agriculture. Those are our farms. If you're
5 that this is a fait accompli, so I give up.		less than five acres in size, you cannot have
6 I'm going to vote to approve this.		any agricultural activity on your property,
7 Now, Mr. Ketter, do you want		including beekeeping, unless you are engaged in
8 to		a 4-H project. If you are engaged in a 4-H
9 COMMISSIONER KETTER: I do reme		project and your property is over an acre in
10 and you did clarify it then and it didn't sit		size, 40,000 square feet, a builder's acre,
11 with me. You brought up one other thing is,		then you can apply for and receive a 4-H
12 too, when they were framing off areas in the,		project from the Illinois Cooperative Extension
13 like, 7-Eleven's because they can't have video		here in DuPage County, and you have to do that on an annual basis. You have to provide us
14 for under 21 and they were doing it, and they		*
15 were getting some type of special license, not		with a site plan and we have to certify that
16 a pour license, but is that the package17 license?	10	you are 4-H and you can have any type of agricultural activity on your property,
18 MR. HOSS: We have about 14 or 15	18	including beekeeping. This code would allow for
19 properties that are convenience stores that		
20 have package liquor licenses, Class A liquor		beekeeping on properties that are less than 20,000 square feet. We're also requiring you
21 licenses. They also have received Class B		
22 liquor licenses, not to be confused with Class		to and the State requires you to get an agricultural this is a debate on what the
23 B restaurants, but Class B liquor licenses to24 pour in the same facility, but in order to do		term is, but let's just call it a certification
24 pour in the same facility, but in order to do		•
	Page 35	Page 37
1 that, they partitioned off a portion of their		from the state department of State Ag
2 C-store with a glass enclosed area where it's		Department that your bees are registered with
3 restricted to people over 21 years of age, and		the State. We're also requiring in this Ordinance to get a 4-H certificate, and the
4 in theory that's where you can pour and drink		rationale for all of that is these bees are
5 your alcohol. And that's where the gaming 6 facilities are.		
7 CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. 1		really being treated as hobbies on the
		property, similar to what 4-H is on properties
8 anyone want to address the difference of		over 40,000 square feet.
	12 9	
9 opinion about these bees and what we're doir 10 to amond the Ordinance with respect to these	-	
10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these	10	number of hives to no more than two on
10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz?	2 10 11	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 	e 10 11 some 12	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet.
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 	e 10 11 some 12 Hoss 13	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks,
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 14 you can't hear me? 	e 10 11 some 12 Hoss 13 14	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks, that answers pretty much my questions for
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 1 14 you can't hear me? 15 THE COURT REPORTER: No, it's too 	2 10 11 some 12 Hoss 13 14 far. 15	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks, that answers pretty much my questions for staff. But one thing, I am concerned about the
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 1 14 you can't hear me? 15 THE COURT REPORTER: No, it's too 16 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I'll spea 	e 10 11 some 12 Hoss 13 14 far. 15 k up. 16	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks, that answers pretty much my questions for staff. But one thing, I am concerned about the Rusty Patched Bee and other native bees. I do
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 14 you can't hear me? 15 THE COURT REPORTER: No, it's too 16 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I'll spea 17 So, Mr. Hoss, currently I 	10 11 some 12 Hoss 13 14 far. 15 ik up. 16 17	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks, that answers pretty much my questions for staff. But one thing, I am concerned about the Rusty Patched Bee and other native bees. I do think that it's good that we pay attention to
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 14 you can't hear me? 15 THE COURT REPORTER: No, it's too 16 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I'll spea 17 So, Mr. Hoss, currently I 18 guess I didn't really grab this from when we 	2 10 11 some 12 Hoss 13 14 far. 15 k up. 16 17 18	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks, that answers pretty much my questions for staff. But one thing, I am concerned about the Rusty Patched Bee and other native bees. I do think that it's good that we pay attention to that. We have the forest preserves that
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 14 you can't hear me? 15 THE COURT REPORTER: No, it's too 16 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I'll spea 17 So, Mr. Hoss, currently I 18 guess I didn't really grab this from when we 19 met before on this, but currently how many 	some 10 11 Hoss 13 14 far. 15 k up. 16 17 18 19	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks, that answers pretty much my questions for staff. But one thing, I am concerned about the Rusty Patched Bee and other native bees. I do think that it's good that we pay attention to that. We have the forest preserves that actively pay attention.
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 14 you can't hear me? 15 THE COURT REPORTER: No, it's too 16 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I'll spea 17 So, Mr. Hoss, currently I 18 guess I didn't really grab this from when we 19 met before on this, but currently how many 20 hives can somebody have if they're under the 	10 11 some 12 Hoss 13 14 far. 15 ik up. 16 17 18 19 20	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks, that answers pretty much my questions for staff. But one thing, I am concerned about the Rusty Patched Bee and other native bees. I do think that it's good that we pay attention to that. We have the forest preserves that actively pay attention. But I did look up I'm not
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 14 you can't hear me? 15 THE COURT REPORTER: No, it's too 16 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I'll spea 17 So, Mr. Hoss, currently I 18 guess I didn't really grab this from when we 19 met before on this, but currently how many 20 hives can somebody have if they're under the 21 4,000 [sic]? Here they're saying you can do 	2 10 11 30me 12 Hoss 13 14 far. 15 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks, that answers pretty much my questions for staff. But one thing, I am concerned about the Rusty Patched Bee and other native bees. I do think that it's good that we pay attention to that. We have the forest preserves that actively pay attention. But I did look up I'm not sure I'm going to say it right, the Sierra
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 14 you can't hear me? 15 THE COURT REPORTER: No, it's too 16 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I'll spea 17 So, Mr. Hoss, currently I 18 guess I didn't really grab this from when we 19 met before on this, but currently how many 20 hives can somebody have if they're under the 21 4,000 [sic]? Here they're saying you can do 22 two. 	e 10 11 11 12 Hoss 13 14 far. 15 14 14 17 18 19 20 21 22	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks, that answers pretty much my questions for staff. But one thing, I am concerned about the Rusty Patched Bee and other native bees. I do think that it's good that we pay attention to that. We have the forest preserves that actively pay attention. But I did look up I'm not sure I'm going to say it right, the Sierra Society. I've been researching some of their
 10 to amend the Ordinance with respect to these 11 Honey Bee hives? Mr. Schultz? 12 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I have s 13 comments for this, but one thing maybe Mr. 14 you can't hear me? 15 THE COURT REPORTER: No, it's too 16 COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I'll spea 17 So, Mr. Hoss, currently I 18 guess I didn't really grab this from when we 19 met before on this, but currently how many 20 hives can somebody have if they're under the 21 4,000 [sic]? Here they're saying you can do 	2 10 11 12 Hoss 13 14 far. 15 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	number of hives to no more than two on properties that are less than 40,000 square feet. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Well, thanks, that answers pretty much my questions for staff. But one thing, I am concerned about the Rusty Patched Bee and other native bees. I do think that it's good that we pay attention to that. We have the forest preserves that actively pay attention. But I did look up I'm not sure I'm going to say it right, the Sierra

	Dage 20		Page 40
1	Page 38 four miles part, which is a two-mile when you	1	Page 40 satisfied that won't be a problem.
	make a circumference. But in that circle, at	$\frac{1}{2}$	I'd like Mr. Hoss to explain
	the center of that circle, their suggestion is		generally the line-of-sight impact for this
	no more than 20 hives. Now I'm thinking that		text amendment.
	same space around my house, I've spent some	5	MR. HOSS: So on a zoning lot you have
	time looking, and I only know of one beekeeper	-	front yards, you have rear yards, and you have
	in that space and he doesn't have 20 hives.		side yards. When your property is at the
	So, I guess what my point is, I think I'm going		intersection of two streets, one of those side
	to vote to approve this, but I think that it's		yards is considered what's called a corner side
	good that we have all this registration because		yard. The rule that we're talking about here
	if we do see an issue specifically in an area,		is that currently in the corner side yard the
	we can address it because we know where the		fence can only be within the first 10 feet
13	Honey Bees are and we can also, you know, spot		-
	where we have native bees that are having		the first 10 feet can only be 4 feet tall and
15	trouble, if they are. And that's kind of my		it has to be 50 percent open.
	thought is that this allows this gives us	16	The proposed change would
17	some safeguards so that we're not just plopping	17	allow that fence now to go 3 inches from the
18	beehives everywhere and having drastic declines	18	property line, be 6'6" tall and be 100 percent
19	based on the activities through 4-H.	19	closed.
20	MR. HOSS: Again, if I could point	20	The concern historically
21	something out. That's an important notation	21	why we had that code in place, keep it 10 feet
22	because you're going to have to get a 4-H	22	away, is the line-of-sight of potentially a
23	license or certification on an annual basis.	23	house behind the corner side yard that might be
24	So you will have to be doing this annually with	24	a front yard, and the concern that people
1			
	Page 39		Page 41
			• • • •
	Page 39	1	Page 41
1	Page 39 at least 4-H club program.	1 2	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be
1 2 3	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you.	1 2 3	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place
1 2 3 4	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to	1 2 3 4	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place
1 2 3 4 5	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very	1 2 3 4 5	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had
1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake.	1 2 3 4 5 6	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence.
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 11 2 13 14	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's related to the bee hives and the 4-H, et	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put this fence if the law is changed is in the
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's related to the bee hives and the 4-H, et cetera, but we'll get to that later.	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put this fence if the law is changed is in the corner side yard. You still have the front
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's related to the bee hives and the 4-H, et cetera, but we'll get to that later. One of my other concerns	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put this fence if the law is changed is in the corner side yard. You still have the front yard setback which is 30 feet, and that's
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's related to the bee hives and the 4-H, et cetera, but we'll get to that later. One of my other concerns about the text amendment is the fencing in the	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put this fence if the law is changed is in the corner side yard. You still have the front yard setback which is 30 feet, and that's distinguished to the corner side yard. You
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's related to the bee hives and the 4-H, et cetera, but we'll get to that later. One of my other concerns about the text amendment is the fencing in the corner side yards. I've discussed this with	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\end{array} $	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put this fence if the law is changed is in the corner side yard. You still have the front yard setback which is 30 feet, and that's distinguished to the corner side yard. You might think that we're allowing the fence to go
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's related to the bee hives and the 4-H, et cetera, but we'll get to that later. One of my other concerns about the text amendment is the fencing in the corner side yards. I've discussed this with staff, I'm satisfied, I'd like and my	1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put this fence if the law is changed is in the corner side yard. You still have the front yard setback which is 30 feet, and that's distinguished to the corner side yard. You might think that we're allowing the fence to go all the way up to the front property line where
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's related to the bee hives and the 4-H, et cetera, but we'll get to that later. One of my other concerns about the text amendment is the fencing in the corner side yards. I've discussed this with staff, I'm satisfied, I'd like and my concern is the line-of-sight question. Again,	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\end{array} $	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put this fence if the law is changed is in the corner side yard. You still have the front yard setback which is 30 feet, and that's distinguished to the corner side yard. You might think that we're allowing the fence to go all the way up to the front property line where there is the intersection of the street. We're
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's related to the bee hives and the 4-H, et cetera, but we'll get to that later. One of my other concerns about the text amendment is the fencing in the corner side yards. I've discussed this with staff, I'm satisfied, I'd like and my concern is the line-of-sight question. Again, it always seems appropriate to me to have a	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\end{array} $	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put this fence if the law is changed is in the corner side yard. You still have the front yard setback which is 30 feet, and that's distinguished to the corner side yard. You might think that we're allowing the fence to go all the way up to the front property line where there is the intersection of the street. We're not. That's not going to be allowed because
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's related to the bee hives and the 4-H, et cetera, but we'll get to that later. One of my other concerns about the text amendment is the fencing in the corner side yards. I've discussed this with staff, I'm satisfied, I'd like and my concern is the line-of-sight question. Again, it always seems appropriate to me to have a public hearing so you can determine that	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\end{array} $	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put this fence if the law is changed is in the corner side yard. You still have the front yard setback which is 30 feet, and that's distinguished to the corner side yard. You might think that we're allowing the fence to go all the way up to the front property line where there is the intersection of the street. We're not. That's not going to be allowed because that front yard goes across the entire width of
1 2 3 4 5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	Page 39 at least 4-H club program. COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Sure, thank you. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: I'm not ready to make a decision about this. I'm very concerned, and I don't want to make a mistake. I don't see any reason to rush this, and I think the bee issue should be carved out until the County Development Committee and County Board has an opportunity to evaluate the information that Mr. Schultz discussed, that we heard in public comment from both sides, et cetera. So my own view is this text amendment should proceed without the portion that's related to the bee hives and the 4-H, et cetera, but we'll get to that later. One of my other concerns about the text amendment is the fencing in the corner side yards. I've discussed this with staff, I'm satisfied, I'd like and my concern is the line-of-sight question. Again, it always seems appropriate to me to have a	$ \begin{array}{c} 1\\2\\3\\4\\5\\6\\7\\8\\9\\10\\11\\12\\13\\14\\15\\16\\17\\18\\19\\20\\21\\22\\23\end{array} $	Page 41 driving in and out of that driveway might be being blocked by a fence that's closer to the property line. We've had this in place probably for about 10 years now, and we've had a lot of zoning requests where people come in and say there's no line-of-sight issue and those zoning requests have been approved. The concern that Mr. Kartholl is raising here is the line-of-sight relative to cars driving up and down that street that might be blocked by the fence. The way we feel that concern is mitigated is that the only place that you are able to put this fence if the law is changed is in the corner side yard. You still have the front yard setback which is 30 feet, and that's distinguished to the corner side yard. You might think that we're allowing the fence to go all the way up to the front property line where there is the intersection of the street. We're not. That's not going to be allowed because

1	Page 42 That fence will have to remain at 4'6" tall and	1	Page 44
		$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 2 \end{vmatrix}$	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I did, and I'm fine with that. We can carve that out.
	50 percent open. So you won't have a 6-foot tall fence in that front yard, it will only be	$\begin{vmatrix} 2 \\ 3 \end{vmatrix}$	
		4	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: All right. COMMISSIONER KETTER: I have one
5	in the corner side yard. In addition, where we have		
	the intersection of two streets, we have an		question for staff: Am I understanding the State of Illinois Agriculture oversees this and
7			sets the rules, 4-H has their own rules, too.
	what's called a line-of-sight triangle		So we can have the most public discussion
9			that's ever been had, but it's probably we're
	lines come together, you take a point from the		not the proper one, they should go in front of
	intersection of the two lines, come back 25		the Agriculture Department and get them to
1	feet, draw two dots, connect those dots and you		change any regulation; correct?
	create a triangle. Within that triangle, which	12	MR. HOSS: Well, in theory yes, but to
	is at the intersection of those two streets and		answer your question it's yes to both of those
	the intersection of those property lines, the		questions that they you have to get the
	fence can't be higher than 3 feet tall. Not		certification from the State and we are
	only can you not have a fence higher than 3		requiring a 4-H certification as well. The 4-H
	feet tall, you can't even have any vegetation		certification provides the educational aspects,
	in that line-of-sight easement.		whether you're a child or adult, so that's the
$\begin{vmatrix} 1 \\ 20 \end{vmatrix}$	-		value of that. And it keeps it on an annual
	was are we going to be allowing fences to be 6		basis where this is being checked by someone
	feet tall up to that intersection of those two		who we're not we don't have apiaries here
	streets or the intersection of the property		in the County, we're not bee specialists, so
	lines? The answer is no, there will still be		we're saying get documentation from the
-			
1	Page 43 30 feet of essentially open area where people	1	Page 45 specialists and submit that as part of your
	won't have their line-of-sight blocked when		application to us. We think that's good
	they're at the intersection.		coverage. And if there's an issue at the
4	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. Are there any		State, or there's an issue with 4-H, those
5			· · · · ·
	other concerns about the text amendment		certificates and those licenses won't be
6		5	
6 7	generally?	5 6	issued, and therefore even though they might be
6 7 8		5 6 7	
7 8	generally? (No response.)	5 6 7 8	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning
7 8 9	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've	5 6 7 8 9	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with
7 8 9 10	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception	5 6 7 8 9	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get
7 8 9 10 11	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H.
7 8 9 10 11 12	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a
7 8 9 10 11 12 13	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My own view is we should carve it out and deal	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a motion that has been amended to approve all of
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My own view is we should carve it out and deal with it down the line. If there's no other	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a motion that has been amended to approve all of the text amendments that are before us, with
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My own view is we should carve it out and deal with it down the line. If there's no other appetite for that, I'll simply abstain from the	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a motion that has been amended to approve all of the text amendments that are before us, with the exception of those portions which relate to
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My own view is we should carve it out and deal with it down the line. If there's no other appetite for that, I'll simply abstain from the vote on the text amendment. But I'm not trying	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a motion that has been amended to approve all of the text amendments that are before us, with the exception of those portions which relate to the bee issue. We've had sufficient discussion
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My own view is we should carve it out and deal with it down the line. If there's no other appetite for that, I'll simply abstain from the vote on the text amendment. But I'm not trying to control yeah, Mr. Schultz?	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a motion that has been amended to approve all of the text amendments that are before us, with the exception of those portions which relate to the bee issue. We've had sufficient discussion on that, I'd like to call the roll on the
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My own view is we should carve it out and deal with it down the line. If there's no other appetite for that, I'll simply abstain from the vote on the text amendment. But I'm not trying to control yeah, Mr. Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I agree with you,	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a motion that has been amended to approve all of the text amendments that are before us, with the exception of those portions which relate to the bee issue. We've had sufficient discussion on that, I'd like to call the roll on the motion to approve the majority of the text
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My own view is we should carve it out and deal with it down the line. If there's no other appetite for that, I'll simply abstain from the vote on the text amendment. But I'm not trying to control yeah, Mr. Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I agree with you, Bob. I don't see any urgency we have to have	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a motion that has been amended to approve all of the text amendments that are before us, with the exception of those portions which relate to the bee issue. We've had sufficient discussion on that, I'd like to call the roll on the motion to approve the majority of the text amendment, absent the sections on bees.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My own view is we should carve it out and deal with it down the line. If there's no other appetite for that, I'll simply abstain from the vote on the text amendment. But I'm not trying to control yeah, Mr. Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I agree with you, Bob. I don't see any urgency we have to have it right this moment. And, you know, having	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a motion that has been amended to approve all of the text amendments that are before us, with the exception of those portions which relate to the bee issue. We've had sufficient discussion on that, I'd like to call the roll on the motion to approve the majority of the text amendment, absent the sections on bees. Would you call the roll on
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My own view is we should carve it out and deal with it down the line. If there's no other appetite for that, I'll simply abstain from the vote on the text amendment. But I'm not trying to control yeah, Mr. Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I agree with you, Bob. I don't see any urgency we have to have it right this moment. And, you know, having them take a second look at it probably is not a	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a motion that has been amended to approve all of the text amendments that are before us, with the exception of those portions which relate to the bee issue. We've had sufficient discussion on that, I'd like to call the roll on the motion to approve the majority of the text amendment, absent the sections on bees. Would you call the roll on that motion.
7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	generally? (No response.) CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Well, I think we've resolved all of our issues with the exception of my issue about to-bee or to include the text amendment as it relates to Honey Bees. My own view is we should carve it out and deal with it down the line. If there's no other appetite for that, I'll simply abstain from the vote on the text amendment. But I'm not trying to control yeah, Mr. Schultz? COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: I agree with you, Bob. I don't see any urgency we have to have it right this moment. And, you know, having them take a second look at it probably is not a bad thing. So, carving it out makes sense to	5 6 7 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	issued, and therefore even though they might be in compliance with the County Zoning regulations, they won't be in compliance with essentially two affidavits they have to get from the State or from the 4-H. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Okay. We now have a motion that has been amended to approve all of the text amendments that are before us, with the exception of those portions which relate to the bee issue. We've had sufficient discussion on that, I'd like to call the roll on the motion to approve the majority of the text amendment, absent the sections on bees. Would you call the roll on that motion. MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson?

	Page 46		Page 48
1	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy?	1	with the side comment that we hope the County
2	COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye.		Board/County Development Committee will
3	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran?		evaluate this carefully?
4	COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye.	4	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Anderson?
5	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz?	5	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: Aye.
6	COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye.	6	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Moran?
7	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Rahman?	7	COMMISSIONER MORAN: Aye.
8	COMMISSIONER RAHMAN: Aye.	8	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Murphy?
9	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Chairman	9	COMMISSIONER MURPHY: Aye.
10	Kartholl?	10	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Ketter?
11	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Aye.	11	COMMISSIONER KETTER: Aye.
12	The motion passes by a vote	12	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Schultz?
13	of 7 in favor and none opposed.	13	COMMISSIONER SCHULTZ: Aye.
14	I'd now like to take a	14	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Member Rahman?
15	motion on the text amendment as it relates to	15	COMMISSIONER RAHMAN: Aye.
16	the sections concerning beehives, Honey Bees.	16	MS. INFELISE DATZMAN: Chairman
17	We can do a lot of things here. It seems to me	17	Kartholl?
18	that we should make no recommendation	18	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Aye.
19	whatsoever, because our issue I don't want	19	So, we make no
20	people to come back to me and try to persuade	20	recommendation to the County Development
21	me what's right and what's wrong about bees, I	21	Committee with respect to the issue and request
22	want the County, the staff, the Development	22	that they consider it. That motion passes.
23	Committee to do that evaluation.	23	
24	If we defer this, it's	24	Thank you, that's the last
	Page 47		Page 49
1	simply going to come back to us. If we take a	1	6
	motion for no recommendation, then the	2	(Which were all the
	Development Committee can proceed as it sees	3	proceedings had and
4	fit.	4	testimony taken in the
5	Make sense?	5	above-entitled cause.)
6	COMMISSIONER ANDERSON: I'll make that	6	
	motion.	7	
8	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Motion for no	8	
	recommendation. Is there a second to that	9	
	motion?	10	
11	COMMISSIONER KETTER: I still see this	11	
112	coming back to us.	12	
		12	
13	CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Who knows? I'm	13	
13 14	doing my best to move it along.	14	
13 14 15	doing my best to move it along. Motion for no recommendation	14 15	
13 14 15 16	doing my best to move it along. Motion for no recommendation by Ms. Anderson. Is there a second to that?	14 15 16	
13 14 15 16 17	doing my best to move it along. Motion for no recommendation by Ms. Anderson. Is there a second to that? COMMISSIONER MORAN: I'll second it.	14 15 16 17	
13 14 15 16 17 18	doing my best to move it along. Motion for no recommendation by Ms. Anderson. Is there a second to that? COMMISSIONER MORAN: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr.	14 15 16 17 18	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19	doing my best to move it along. Motion for no recommendation by Ms. Anderson. Is there a second to that? COMMISSIONER MORAN: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Moran. Is there discussion on the motion? I	14 15 16 17 18 19	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20	doing my best to move it along. Motion for no recommendation by Ms. Anderson. Is there a second to that? COMMISSIONER MORAN: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Moran. Is there discussion on the motion? I don't know if we've ever had such a motion, but	14 15 16 17 18 19 20	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	doing my best to move it along. Motion for no recommendation by Ms. Anderson. Is there a second to that? COMMISSIONER MORAN: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Moran. Is there discussion on the motion? I don't know if we've ever had such a motion, but I don't see any need to discuss it further.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	doing my best to move it along. Motion for no recommendation by Ms. Anderson. Is there a second to that? COMMISSIONER MORAN: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Moran. Is there discussion on the motion? I don't know if we've ever had such a motion, but I don't see any need to discuss it further. (No response.)	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22	
13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23	doing my best to move it along. Motion for no recommendation by Ms. Anderson. Is there a second to that? COMMISSIONER MORAN: I'll second it. CHAIRMAN KARTHOLL: Seconded by Mr. Moran. Is there discussion on the motion? I don't know if we've ever had such a motion, but I don't see any need to discuss it further.	14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21	

Page 50	
1 STATE OF ILLINOIS)	
) SS.	
2 COUNTY OF DU PAGE)	
3 I, LINDA M. CIOSEK, C.S.R.	
4 No. 084-2892, duly qualified and commissioned	
5 for the State of Illinois, County of DuPage, do	
6 hereby certify that at the request of the DU	
7 PAGE COUNTY ZONING BOARD OF APPEALS, subject to	
8 the usual terms and conditions of Veritext,	
9 reported in shorthand the proceedings had and	
10 testimony taken at the public hearing of the	
11 above-entitled cause, and that the foregoing	
12 transcript is a true, correct and complete	
13 report of the testimony so taken at the time	
14 and place hereinabove set forth.	
15	
16	
17	
18 Junida M Crosek	
20 CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTER 21	
22 My Commission Expires:	
23 July 26, 2026. 24	
24	

[& - allowing]

&	24-014 9:13	6'6 40:18	adequate 21:4
	24-014 9:19 24-022 9:19	7	adjourned 49:1
& 2:2,4	24-17 20:9		administration
0	25 9:20 42:11	7 24:4 34:13	1:9
022 24:8	26 50:23	46:13	adopt 12:16
069 9:15 10:24	27w121 9:17	8	adult 44:19
084-2892 50:4	20:9	80th 9:17 20:10	affect 16:14
1	28180 50:19	a	affecting 15:15
1 13:16 20:5	2nd 9:22	ability 31:15	affidavits 45:9
24:10 26:12	3	able 33:9 41:13	ag 37:1
29:20,23 32:23	3 40:17 42:16	abomination	age 35:3
1-24 1:3 26:15	42:17	16:4	agenda 3:6 9:9
10 40:12,14,21	30 41:16 43:1	above 49:5	20:9 24:6,8
41:4	300,000 4:19	50:11	26:15 49:1
100 40:18	4	absent 45:18	ago 17:13
100,000 5:5		abstain 43:14	agree 22:8 23:1
11 9:14	4 4:2 32:10	acceptable	43:17
12 4:22	36:8,8,11,16	31:21	agreeable 18:7
14 34:18	37:4,7 38:19	accompli 34:5	agreed 18:12
15 30:5 32:9,16	38:22 39:1,14	acre 36:9,10	agricultural
34:18	40:14 44:7,17	acres 36:3,5	5:19 36:2,6,17
16 9:16	44:17 45:4,10	action 21:5	36:23
18th 9:18	4'6 42:1	actively 37:19	agriculture
1972 7:5	4,000 35:21	activities 30:24	36:4 44:6,11
2	40,000 36:10	33:1,12 38:19	air 15:16
2 23:6 29:18,23	37:8,11 421 1:9	activity 36:6,17	alcohol 35:5
32:23		actual 31:11	allow 24:9
20 30:5 32:9,17	5	actually 4:10	31:23 36:19
38:4,7	50 40:15 42:2	4:21	40:17
20,000 36:21	5:30 1:5	addition 5:8	allowed 5:24
2024 1:4 9:20	6	29:24 42:5	29:20 32:22
9:22	6 1:4 13:16,16	additional 18:3	41:21
2026 50:23	20:5 26:12	23:4 42:7	allowing 6:16 41:18 42:21
21 34:14 35:3	42:2,21	address 35:8	41.10 42.21
		38:12	

[allows - beehives]

	1		
allows 38:16	answers 37:14	area 7:20 13:24	23:17,19,21,23
alluded 27:11	anybody 17:21	25:13 30:7	24:2 25:21,23
amenable 15:1	24:12 28:7,11	31:19 35:2	26:1,3,5,10
amend 35:10	apiaries 44:22	38:11 43:1	45:22,24 46:2
amended 45:12	appeals 1:1,8	areas 34:12	46:4,6,8,11
amendment	3:2,5 50:7	arguing 18:17	48:5,7,9,11,13
8:22 26:14,16	appear 17:20	argument 15:2	48:15,18
26:18 27:12	appetite 16:16	aspect 28:15	b
28:15 39:12,17	43:14	aspects 44:18	b 24:10 29:12
40:4 43:5,11	application	associated	29:13,17,18,20
43:15,24 45:18	30:14 45:2	29:22 31:20	29:23,23 30:2
46:15	applies 11:14	33:12	30:3,16 31:9
amendments	apply 36:11	association 5:1	32:4,23,23
16:21 17:5	appropriate	7:6	34:21,23,23
18:8 45:13	4:16 15:24	assumed 21:15	back 8:8 11:13
ample 15:21	39:21	attention 37:17	17:11 22:6,16
ancillary 33:11	appropriately	37:19	42:11 46:20
anderson 1:20	9:1	attorney 16:9	47:1,12
10:6,8 13:8,9	approval 9:10	25:8	bad 43:21
14:7,8 18:10	11:24	auctions 25:10	barry 1:18
18:11 19:15,16	approve 10:2,5	authorize	barry's 22:4
20:13,15 21:15	10:14 14:2,6,9	16:21	based 11:19
21:17 22:3,9	16:2,18 19:11	authorized	38:19
22:19 23:12,13	20:14,16,19	16:24	basis 20:23
26:6,7,19,22	21:18 23:10	auto 24:9,10,21	27:21 36:14
33:23 44:1	26:20,22 34:6	availability 4:9	38:23 44:21
45:21,22 47:6	38:9 43:24	available 5:7	beans 5:20
47:16 48:4,5	45:12,17	21:4 29:3	bee 4:11 5:6,10
animal 4:18	approved	30:12	5:11 6:2,17
annual 36:14	16:17 41:7	avoids 34:2	35:11 37:16
38:23 44:20	approving	aye 10:15,18	39:7,14 43:10
annually 38:24	27:16,17	13:1,3,5,7,11	44:23 45:15
answer 42:24	april 9:12,14	13:14 19:14,16	beehives 4:1
44:14	9:16,18,20	19:18,20,24	5:23 6:7 7:8
		20:3 23:13,15	38:18 46:16
			JU.10 TU.10

[beekeeper - cheat]

1 1	1	0 11 40 0	1. 0.1.(.10)
beekeeper 7:5	bring 25:10	carefully 48:3	chair 3:16,18
38:6	broader 24:22	carl 1:19	chairman 1:15
beekeepers 7:6	brought 15:5	carries 10:21	3:1 6:21 7:3
7:10	34:11	carryout 29:12	8:17 10:7,12
beekeeping 4:5	builder's 36:10	cars 25:10	10:19,21 12:1
36:7,18,20	builders 13:19	41:10	12:4,7,11
bees 4:9,12,17	building 1:9	carve 43:12	13:12,14 14:5
4:19 5:5,9,13	15:15	44:2	14:8 15:4 16:3
5:14,15,16,18	bumble 5:10,14	carved 39:7	18:9,12,16,22
6:9 7:11,12,13	5:16 8:3	carving 43:21	19:4,9 20:1,3
7:16,18,19,22	business 14:19	case 8:16,22	20:15,18 21:8
8:3,4,9,13	14:20 24:10	11:2 12:14	22:1,7,22 23:2
28:13 35:9	businesses	13:19,20 16:22	23:24 24:2,17
37:2,5,16	24:23	20:12 24:13	25:1,4,15,18
38:13,14 43:11	c	cases 10:23	26:8,10,21
45:18 46:16,21		16:14 28:1	27:1,5,22
believe 22:11	c 29:13,13,17	category 33:11	33:17 35:7
31:16	30:2,3 32:4	cause 49:5	39:3 43:4,8,23
best 47:14	35:2	50:11	44:3 45:11
bind 11:23	c.s.r. 1:11 50:3	cavalier 14:13	46:9,11 47:8
block 25:11	cafe 31:22,23	center 33:21	47:13,18,23
blocked 41:2	31:24 32:6,10	38:3	48:16,18
41:11 43:2	32:13	centers 33:1,2	challenged
bloomingdale	cafes 27:13	certainly 15:21	16:12
11:1	33:3,15	certificate 37:4	chance 21:22
board 1:1,7,14	calculated 5:3	certificates	change 11:8
3:2,5 8:23	call 11:15	45:5	15:17 26:24
16:20 19:10	12:22 19:11	certification	40:16 44:12
22:23 39:9	23:9 25:19	36:24 38:23	changed 12:18
48:2 50:7	36:24 45:16,19	44:16,17,18	41:14
bob 43:18	47:23	certified 50:20	changes 3:24
bonafide 11:20	called 40:9 42:8	certify 36:15	12:17
bottom 33:7	calling 3:3 31:5	50:6	changing 15:14
bought 14:20	capable 4:21	cetera 19:6,6	cheat 24:7
bought 14.20	cared 4:15	22:14 39:12,15	
		22.14 39.12,13	

[check - control]

check 17:17	41:5 42:10,11	37:13 39:2	concerns 39:16
checked 44:21	46:20 47:1	43:17 44:1,4	43:5
chicken 4:18	coming 11:12	45:22,24 46:2	condensing
chickens 4:19	27:11 47:12	46:4,6,8 47:6	6:15
child 44:19	comment 3:7,9	47:11,17 48:5	condition 17:1
choose 9:4	3:11 6:3,24 7:1	48:7,9,11,13,15	17:2 23:4,6
ciosek 1:11	8:19,20 9:2,4,5	commissioners	conditional
50:3	28:11 37:23	10:17	13:20 14:22,24
circle 7:15 38:2	39:11 48:1	committee 20:6	15:6,8,13 16:1
38:3	comments	39:8 46:23	16:5,7,8 18:8
circulated 9:11	12:16 18:13	47:3 48:2,21	19:3,5 20:10
circumference	22:24 27:23	community	20:23 21:2,10
38:2	28:9 35:13	15:16 24:23	22:11 24:9
cited 16:5	commission	28:20	27:2
citizen 3:17	50:22	company 17:19	conditions
clarification	commissioned	compelling	16:19,24 18:4
15:5	1:12 50:4	12:13 14:16	19:12 21:10
clarify 34:10	commissioner	complaining	23:11 50:8
class 29:12,13	1:16,17,18,19	31:5	confused 18:23
29:17,21 30:1	1:20,21 10:4,6	complaints	34:22
30:2,3,15,16,16	11:4 12:3,6	30:21	connect 42:12
31:9,9 32:4	13:1,3,5,7,9,11	complete 50:12	connie 3:15
34:20,21,22,23	14:1,7,11 15:9	compliance	consider 9:24
clearly 15:7	18:7,11,14,17	45:7,8	16:21 17:5
clears 27:21	19:2,8,14,16,18	concern 4:8	48:22
closed 40:19	19:20,22,24	14:11 28:1	consideration
closer 41:2	20:13,17,22	39:20 40:20,24	5:12 26:15
club 3:17,18	21:14,17 22:3	41:8,12 42:20	29:7
39:1	22:19 23:1,13	concerned 3:17	considered
code 36:19	23:15,17,19,21	3:19 4:4 6:2	18:3 36:2 40:9
40:21	23:23 24:14,18	7:10 37:15	consistent
codes 31:14,15	25:3,6,21,23	39:5	32:20
collects 5:4	26:1,3,5,7,19	concerning	control 31:14
come 8:8 21:4	26:23 27:3,10	46:16	43:16
22:6,15 32:14	34:9 35:12,16		

[convenience - dupage]

	ı		1
convenience	cross 5:14,15	25:19 26:11	44:8 45:15
29:1 34:19	current 4:15	department	47:19
cook 7:6	6:15	37:1,2 44:11	disease 5:8
cooler 28:5	currently 35:17	describe 16:9	diseases 5:9
cooperative	35:19 40:11	28:4	distinguished
36:12	cut 7:14	detailed 24:20	41:17
corn 5:20	d	determine	distinguishing
corner 39:18	d 9:6	39:22	32:5
40:9,11,13,23	danger 6:17	development	district 16:22
41:15,17 42:4	datzman 2:2	15:8 16:8,10	16:23 24:11
correct 31:13	12:24 13:2,4,6	20:6 21:19	29:19,20,23
44:12 50:12	12:24 13:2,4,0	39:8 46:22	districts 32:23
county 1:1,7,8	19:13,15,17,19	47:3 48:2,20	documentation
1:9 3:2,19 4:1	19:21,23 20:1	device 28:18	44:24
11:23 16:20	23:12,14,16,18	devices 31:18	doing 34:14
17:4 19:10	23:20,22,24	difference 35:8	35:9 38:24
20:6 28:24	25:20,22,24	different 21:12	47:14
29:3 30:15	26:2,4,6,8	28:23 29:11	dots 42:12,12
31:14 32:15,24	45:21,23 46:1	33:3,11	downers 7:7
36:13 39:8,8	46:3,5,7,9 48:4	difficult 3:23	dramatically
44:23 45:7	48:6,8,10,12,14	diligence 14:21	32:3
46:22 48:1,2	48:16	33:6	drastic 38:18
48:20 50:2,5,7	day 9:7	discontinued	draw 42:12
county's 31:14	deadline 17:2	17:10 18:2	drink 35:4
couple 28:1	deal 43:12	discuss 28:12	driveway 41:1
court 14:3	debate 36:23	28:13 47:21	driving 41:1,10
16:13 35:15	decision 39:4	discussed 7:9	du 1:1,7 50:2,6
coverage 45:3	declines 38:18	8:23 39:10,18	due 5:7 14:21
create 42:13	declining 5:6	39:24	33:6
created 31:12	deed 18:21	discussion 9:24	duly 50:4
creates 4:22	defer 46:24	10:9,13 12:8	dupage 1:8 3:2
creating 31:17	dennis 1:17	12:12 14:10	3:18 4:1,10 7:6
creek 6:4	deny 11:5 12:1	20:20 23:9	11:21 29:3
crops 5:19	12:23 13:17	25:16 26:18,20	36:13 50:5
	24:15,19 25:2	26:24 27:6	

	1	1	
duplex 13:21	enumerated	existing 17:14	favor 10:14
15:19,22 17:10	22:13	23:6	13:16 20:5
17:11,13 18:2	equates 30:12	expect 33:20	24:4 26:12
duty 12:20	equivalent	expert 22:11	46:13
dwindling 3:20	27:14	expertise 21:13	feel 21:17 41:12
6:18	essentially 42:9	expiration 21:9	feet 30:6 36:10
e	43:1 45:9	21:10 22:13	36:21 37:8,12
e 10:24	establish 16:18	expire 17:7	40:12,14,14,21
	establishing	expires 19:5	41:16 42:12,16
earlier 33:24	31:22	50:22	42:18,22 43:1
easement 42:9	establishment	explain 40:2	felt 15:10
42:19	30:17	explanation	fence 40:12,17
educate 7:12	establishments	33:18	41:2,11,14,18
educational 4:3	31:8,16 32:21	expressed	42:1,3,16,17
6:5 44:18	et 19:6,6 22:14	12:15	fences 42:21
effective 5:13	39:11,14	extension 36:12	fencing 39:17
5:18	evaluate 39:9	f	finally 9:21
effectively 7:14	48:3		17:15
eggplant 5:17	evaluation	facilities 31:1	find 3:23 12:13
either 8:15	46:23	32:14,16 35:6	14:16 19:7
18:18	evening 10:23	facility 21:12	20:8
eleven's 34:13	everybody 23:7	30:7 34:24	finding 11:22
enclosed 35:2	evidence 15:22	fact 5:2 7:18	fine 6:21 44:2
enclosure 4:20	33:5	9:6 15:19	first 9:12 10:24
endangered	example 5:14	failed 24:15,19	12:15 21:2
4:14 5:11	6:3	fair 9:1 22:16	40:12,14
ended 18:20	exception 6:3	fait 34:5	fit 11:9 47:4
endorsement	43:9 45:14	fall 14:22	fits 15:18
17:18	exceptions	family 17:12	five 7:15 21:7
engaged 36:7,8	11:16	fantastic 22:9	22:20,21,24
engine 25:12	excuse 5:18	far 8:1 35:15	23:1,4 29:10
engines 25:11	exhaust 27:23	farm 1:9 4:17	36:3,5
enrichment 4:3	existed 17:13	6:4	floor 30:7
entire 41:22	existence 15:20	farming 6:6	flower 8:6,6
entitled 49:5		farms 36:4	
50:11			1

fly 7:13	gather 8:9	granted 17:7	held 9:14,16,18
flying 7:11	gathering 4:23	granting 19:3	9:20,21 12:20
folks 33:5	general 21:15	great 11:6 34:1	helping 27:18
follow 12:21	generally 12:12	grew 7:6	hereinabove
food 4:23 5:7	27:24 40:3	gross 30:7	50:14
32:1 33:9	43:6	group 3:16 6:5	hesitant 11:22
foot 42:2	getting 34:15	20:11	higher 42:16,17
forage 4:20,22	give 17:4 33:21	grove 7:7	highlight 18:15
foraging 4:21	34:5	grow 6:11	historically
foregoing	gives 21:21,22	guess 24:6	40:20
50:11	38:16	26:14 35:18	history 17:12
forest 5:24 6:1	giving 27:17,19	38:8	hive 4:19,22
37:18	glass 35:2	h	5:4
forth 17:11	glory 9:14		hives 6:5 7:20
50:14	go 6:20 9:9	h 4:2 36:8,8,11	35:11,20 37:10
found 30:8,13	15:1,17 19:6	36:16 37:4,7	37:24,24 38:4
30:19 31:8	21:16 22:4,20	38:19,22 39:1	38:7 39:14
32:11	31:21 40:17	39:14 44:7,17	hobbies 37:6
four 38:1	41:18 44:10	44:17 45:4,10	hold 24:17
framing 34:12	goes 41:22	habitat 7:15	holding 18:4
front 40:6,24	going 9:9 15:16	8:1,7	honey 4:9,12
41:15,19,22	18:24,24 25:9	habitats 3:20 harmonious	5:8,15 6:9 7:16
42:3 44:10	28:12 30:17	11:9	7:19 8:9 35:11
further 18:13	31:1 34:6	healthy 3:19	38:13 43:11
23:8 25:15	37:21 38:8,22	hear 12:4 18:5	46:16
47:21	41:21 42:21	21:19,23 27:22	hope 6:14,19
g	47:1	28:9,14 35:14	48:1
gambling 27:12	good 25:7	heard 21:13	hoss 2:4 28:16
27:19	37:17 38:10	39:11	34:18 35:13,17
gaming 28:2,16	45:2	hearing 8:21	35:23 38:20
28:18 30:9,24	grab 35:18	9:3 12:15,21	40:2,5 44:13
31:16,18,24	grandfathered	15:1 16:9 34:3	house 38:5
32:6,13,14	18:19	39:22 50:10	40:23
33:3,8,15 35:5	grant 19:1 21:6	hearings 32:13	housing 11:12
		34:1	11:20,21

[igloo - known]

Page 8

i	25:20,22,24	issued 30:15	43:8,23 44:3
_	26:2,4,6,8	45:6	45:11 46:10,11
igloo 28:5	45:21,23 46:1	issues 30:22,22	47:8,13,18,23
illinois 1:10,12	46:3,5,7,9 48:4	31:2,2,4 43:9	48:17,18
5:19 28:17	48:6,8,10,12,14	item 3:6 9:9	keep 6:14 7:16
36:12 44:6	48:16	18:1 24:7	22:5 40:21
50:1,5	information	26:14 49:1	keeping 4:1
impact 39:23 40:3	39:10	items 10:1,10	21:24 35:24
	insects 5:1,21	22:13 23:3	keeps 44:20
impacting 24:22	5:21 7:11,24	j	keith 7:4
important	instance 31:4		kept 18:17
21:20 38:21	33:13	jack 1:16	ketter 1:18
impose 17:3	instances 30:18	janice 1:20	10:4,8 11:4
impose 17.3 imposing 23:3	31:12	jessica 2:2 july 50:23	12:2,24 13:1
inches 40:17	interested	june 1:3,4	14:11 18:14,17
include 17:1	30:10	juxtapose 29:6	19:2,8,21,22
43:10	interior 41:23		20:17,18,22
included 23:3	intersection	k	21:14 23:14,15
including 8:22	40:8 41:20,24	kartholl 1:15	25:3,5,6,22,23
36:7,18	42:6,11,14,15	3:1 6:21 7:3	26:23 27:2,3
incorporated	42:22,23 43:3	8:17 10:7,12	27:10 34:7,9
23:5	introduced	10:19,21 12:1	44:4 45:23,24
increasing 4:8	4:12 6:12	12:4,7,11	47:11 48:10,11
independently	investments	13:13,14 14:5	kind 38:15
10:1	9:18	14:8 15:4 16:3	klein 6:4
indicate 3:3	investor 17:8	18:9,12,16,22	know 5:8,23
industrial	17:16,17	19:4,9 20:2,3	12:19 14:23
29:18	investors 16:11	20:15,18 21:8	15:13 19:10
infelise 2:2	17:19	22:1,7,22 23:2	21:23 22:4,15
12:24 13:2,4,6	issue 28:10	24:1,2,17 25:1	22:16 24:5
13:8,10,12	33:24 38:11	25:4,15,18	28:2,3,12 38:6
19:13,15,17,19	39:7 41:6	26:9,10,21	38:12,13 43:19
19:21,23 20:1	43:10 45:3,4	27:1,5,22	47:20
23:12,14,16,18	45:15 46:19	33:17 35:7	known 5:9
23:20,22,24	48:21	39:3 41:9 43:4	

Veritext Legal Solutions

[knows - microphone]

knows 47:13	light 15:15	look 22:19	makes 14:8
1	limit 21:1	37:20 43:20	43:21
lack 31:5	limitation 23:5	looking 15:10	managed 4:13
land 4:17,24	limited 3:12	15:14,23 38:6	managing
6:10 29:6,8	limiting 37:9	looks 15:18	24:20
31:17 36:3	limits 18:1	loss 5:7 7:24	manner 22:10
landowner	22:12	8:7	material 12:16
6:10	linda 1:10 50:3	lost 24:6	matter 34:2
	line 33:7 39:20	lot 8:10 25:12	medinah 9:15
larger 4:4,16	39:23 40:3,18	25:13 40:5	11:1
6:10	40:22 41:3,6,9	41:5 42:9	meeting 1:3 3:1
largest 5:1,19	41:19,23 42:8	46:17	3:3,7 9:13,14
lately 11:11	42:19 43:2,13	m	9:15,18,20,21
law 41:14	lines 42:10,11		meetings 8:20
lead 4:4	42:15,24	m 1:10 50:3	meiser 7:1,4,4
learn 6:6	liquor 27:17	mac 13:19	member 7:5
leave 4:20	28:20,21,22,24	made 3:10 8:19	12:24 13:2,4,6
legal 15:11	29:4,8,15,22	14:5 16:11	13:8,10 19:13
17:13 18:18	30:4,16 31:3,9	28:6	19:15,17,19,21
legitimize	31:10,11,14	magnitude	19:23 23:12,14
16:11 28:8	32:1 33:10	7:23	23:16,18,20,22
letting 3:14	34:20,20,22,23	main 32:7	25:20,22,24
license 27:18	list 14:15 15:18	maintaining	26:2,4,6 45:21
28:19,20,21,21	listed 5:11	24:21	45:23 46:1,3,5
29:2,4,9,15	listing 5:12	majority 15:23	46:7 48:4,6,8
30:4,10,11	little 22:14	45:17	
31:3 32:2		make 3:9 9:2,3	48:10,12,14
34:15,16,17	live 7:7,17	11:2,4,22 14:1	members 1:14
38:23	livestock 4:13	14:21 20:13	3:4
licensed 28:16	35:24	24:12 26:19	mention 8:2
licenses 28:3,24	living 11:11,13	27:9 34:2 38:2	mentioned 4:2
29:22 30:14,16	llc 14:19 24:8	39:4,5 46:18	met 15:12
30:17 31:10,22	local 24:10	47:5,6 48:19	35:19
34:20,21,22,23	28:20	maker 17:24	metrics 32:18
45:5	long 7:4,14	18:5	microphone
	17:12		3:10 12:9 14:4
1	1	1	1

		• • • • • • • • •	
mile 4:22 7:15	45:17,20 46:12	neighbors 21:5	operated 21:12
38:1	46:15 47:2,7,8	21:18,20 22:16	operation
miles 4:21 38:1	47:10,15,19,20	never 8:8	21:11 22:10
minutes 3:12	47:24 48:22	new 17:8	24:21
9:10,11 10:3	move 10:4	nicety 9:2	operations 6:17
10:14	47:14	nonconforming	operator 33:20
missed 9:1	moves 20:15	17:14 18:18	opinion 11:8
mistake 16:11	municipalities	north 1:9	12:18 35:9
34:3 39:5	27:13	notary 1:11	opportunity
misunderstan	murphy 1:16	notation 38:21	39:9
18:21	12:3,5,6,8 13:2	notice 9:5,6	opposed 10:19
mitigated	13:3 19:17,18	notion 25:19	13:16 20:5
41:12	23:16,17 25:24	number 7:23	24:4 26:12
moment 15:20	26:1 46:1,2	16:19 22:17	46:13
43:19	48:8,9	37:10,23	order 3:3 8:24
money 14:21	n	0	12:14 26:18
months 5:3	name's 3:14	occur 33:1,2	31:13 34:24
moran 1:17	naperville	occurred 30:20	orders 7:23
13:4,5 19:19	13:20	30:21	ordinance
19:20 23:1,18	native 3:20	offered 10:13	11:21 16:6
23:19 26:2,3	4:11 5:5,6,13	13:23 43:23	29:7,10,16
46:3,4 47:17	5:18 6:2,11,13	okay 8:17 18:9	35:10,23 37:4
47:19 48:6,7	6:17 7:10,18	19:8 22:7,22	original 11:7
motion 10:2,7	7:22,24,24 8:4	22:24 27:1	originally
10:14,21 11:3	8:13,15 37:16	33:17 43:4	14:12 15:5
11:4 12:1,2,8	38:14	45:11	oversees 44:6
12:12,23 13:15	nay 19:22	once 18:19 32:7	own 39:12
13:23 14:2,6,9	nectar 8:10,11	one's 28:24	43:12 44:7
14:10 17:24	need 6:9 9:24	ones 21:16	owners 4:15
18:6 19:11	18:5 20:24	open 8:20	14:12
20:4,11,14,19	32:16 33:13,22	26:18,20 27:6	р
20:21 23:10	47:21	40:15 42:2	p.m. 1:5
24:3,13,14,18	needed 5:16	40.13 42.2	package 29:1
25:1 26:11,17	negatively	operate 32:18	30:16 31:10,11
26:20,21 45:12	24:22	33:4	34:16,20
		55.4	J 1 .10,20

page 1:1,7 50:2	permitted	pollinated 5:21	presentation
50:7	29:19 35:24	5:22	7:2 11:6,7
parasites 5:10	person 3:5,8	pollinating	12:17 14:14
parking 30:1,2	persuade 46:20	7:11	25:8
30:5,6,22 31:5	petition 10:24	pollinators	presented 10:5
31:6 32:2,3,8,9	20:9	5:14 7:24	22:10 33:5
32:17,20 33:13	petitioner	populated 5:21	preserve 5:24
33:23	24:15,19	populations	6:1
part 8:21 11:19	piece 18:4	4:11 5:6 6:2,12	
23:6 38:1 45:1	place 18:19	portion 35:1	preserves 37:18
	29:17 40:21	39:13	
particular 28:15	41:3,13 50:14		pretty 37:14
	,	portions 45:14	previously 9:12
partitioned	places 7:12	possibility 4:5	primary 36:3
35:1	32:22	possibly 26:24	private 4:24
parts 28:13	plan 24:20	potentially	probably 22:23
pass 12:9	36:15	40:22	28:13 34:3
passes 13:15	planned 15:8	pour 28:21	41:4 43:20
20:4 24:3	16:8,10	29:2,2,5,15	44:9
26:11 46:12	planning 2:2,4	30:4 31:11	problem 14:18
48:22	plants 8:10,13	32:2 34:16,24	21:24 40:1
past 3:11 8:24	plaza 9:20 24:6	35:4	problems 31:12
patched 5:10	24:8	practical 30:13	proceed 39:13
37:16	please 10:15	practically	47:3
paul 2:4 27:20	12:23 28:4	31:7	proceedings
pay 37:17,19	plopping 38:17	prairie 3:16	1:6 49:3 50:9
people 7:12,20	point 8:14,18	pre 17:14	process 30:18
21:12 31:4	9:3 15:4 21:19	precautions	produce 8:10
35:3 40:24	22:17 28:12	7:21	program 39:1
41:5 43:1	38:8,20 42:10	precedent	project 11:19
46:20	pointed 7:13	16:13	36:8,9,12
peppers 5:17	points 27:8	premises 7:8	projects 4:2
percent 40:15	policing 31:2	present 1:14	proper 44:10
40:18 42:2	pollen 5:4	2:1 3:5 24:14	properties 6:16
period 5:3 17:3	pollinate 5:15	24:18	34:19 36:20
	8:5		37:7,11

[property - resolved]

property 6:1	r	recorded 18:23	report 23:7
13:22 14:20	rahman 1:21	18:24	50:13
17:8,10,22	13:10,11 19:23	recording 18:1	reported 50:9
18:3 21:9,11	19:24 23:22,23	registered 37:2	reporter 14:3
36:1,6,9,17	24:14,18 25:1	registration	35:15 50:20
37:7 40:7,18	25:20,21 46:7	38:10	representation
41:3,19,23,23	46:8 48:14,15	regulation 42:7	14:15
42:15,23	raise 4:8	44:12	request 10:2
proposed 3:24	raising 41:9	regulations	20:24 24:9
40:16	rational 27:21	30:1 45:8	48:21 50:6
protective 7:19		relate 45:14	requests 41:5,7
proved 20:23	rationale 37:5	related 39:14	required 8:20
21:1	ready 39:3	relates 43:11	requirement
provide 24:16	really 35:18	46:15	30:5
24:20 36:14	37:6	relationship	requirements
provides 44:18	rear 40:6	30:23	28:18 30:3
public 1:11 3:7	reason 4:7 11:7	relative 30:24	31:20 32:2,4
3:9,10 4:23 6:5	32:7 39:6	31:4,15 41:10	32:21
6:23 8:19,20	reasons 11:23	relief 17:7,20	requires 36:22
9:2,4,5 24:23	14:16	19:1	requiring
32:13 34:1,2	recall 15:7	rely 8:13	36:21 37:3
39:11,22 44:8	receive 36:11	remain 13:21	44:17
50:10	received 34:21	42:1	reread 11:13
put 16:24 18:20	recognizes 4:17	remanded 11:5	research 3:22
20:24 25:13	recommendat	12:20	5:5 17:21
41:13	1:3 9:13,21	remanding	researching
puts 33:10	10:23 46:18	11:6	3:22 37:22
-	47:2,9,15,24	remedies 21:4	residences 9:15
q	48:20	21:22	11:1
qualified 1:11	recommended	remember 34:9	resident 3:15
50:4	20:6	remission 3:21	residential 36:1
quarters 20:11	reconsider	repair 24:10,21	resold 17:22
question 39:20	12:14	25:10	resolve 28:10
44:5,14	record 3:4 8:18		resolved 43:9
questions 15:11	8:21 17:20,21	repeatedly 11:13	10501100 43.9
37:14 44:15		11.13	

		1	
respect 33:24	road 1:10 9:15	19:13,14 23:20	sense 43:21
35:10 48:21	11:1	23:21 26:4,5	47:5
responded	roadsides 8:12	35:11,12,16	separated 25:7
10:17	robert 1:15	37:13 39:2,10	33:15
response 10:11	roll 12:22	43:16,17 46:5	serve 32:1 33:9
10:20 12:10	19:11 23:9	46:6 48:12,13	33:10
25:17 43:7	25:18 45:16,19	search 19:6	set 16:13 50:14
47:22	47:24	second 10:6	setback 41:16
rest 8:15	rule 40:10	12:2,3 14:6,7,9	sets 44:7
restaurant	rules 44:7,7	17:24 20:17	several 9:11
27:15 28:4,5	rush 39:6	24:17 25:2,3	28:23
29:3,5,9,18	rusty 5:10	26:22,23 43:20	sheet 24:7
30:7,11,12	37:16	47:9,16,17	shopping 32:24
31:18,21 32:5	S	seconded 10:8	33:2
32:7,8 33:14	safeguards	12:5,7 25:4	short 22:15
restaurants	38:17	27:1,2 47:18	shorthand 50:9
29:10,11,13,13	sale 18:2,19	seconds 20:18	50:20
29:14,21 30:2	22:14	section 8:21	show 32:19
30:23 33:16	sales 24:9,21	sections 45:18	shows 5:6
34:23	25:13	46:16	sic 35:21
restricted 35:3	sandwiches	see 14:4 19:5	side 39:18 40:7
restyled 15:6	28:6	38:11 39:6	40:8,9,11,13,23
16:7	satisfied 16:1	43:18 47:11,21	41:15,17 42:4
result 3:20	39:19 40:1	seek 21:5	48:1
retail 32:21	saw 11:7	seem 25:9	sides 39:11
revisit 27:3	saving 10:15	seemed 14:12	sierra 3:17,18
revisiting 21:6	14:13 22:8	seems 8:24	37:21
right 7:3 10:12	27:13,14 35:21	15:24 22:22	sight 39:20,23
19:9 25:9	44:24	33:19,23 34:4	40:3,22 41:6,9
29:18,23 34:2	says 33:22 42:7	39:21 46:17	42:8,19 43:2
35:7,23 37:21	schmidt 3:13	seen 33:4	signature 50:19
43:19 44:3	3:15	sees 47:3	significantly
46:21	schultz 1:19	sell 25:11 28:7	15:17
river 3:16	13:6,7 14:1,5	31:10	signify 10:15
	15:9 18:7		

[similar - testimony]

similar 16:23	speak 3:14	stated 5:2	swayed 14:17
37:7	21:21 35:16	step 3:9 7:3	t
simply 43:14	special 34:15	store 35:2	t 1:3 26:15
47:1	specialists	stores 29:1	take 7:12,21
single 5:4 8:5	44:23 45:1	34:19	9:5,6,23 10:13
17:11	species 3:21	straightforward	11:16 12:22
sir 3:13	4:13 6:11,12	27:8	14:3 19:11
sit 34:10	6:13 8:4,5	street 9:17	20:11 23:9
site 4:2 36:15	specific 4:7	20:10 41:11,20	25:18 26:17
situations	15:11	streets 40:8	42:10 43:20
30:19,20	specifically	41:24 42:6,14	46:14 47:1
six 28:3	38:11	42:23	taken 1:7,8
size 36:5,10	spent 38:5	strip 32:24	49:4 50:10,13
small 27:15	spot 38:13	33:2,21	takeout 29:21
smaller 6:16	spray 7:20	studies 5:1	30:1
society 4:24	spread 5:9	study 5:2	takeouts 29:12
37:22	square 30:6	stuff 22:6	takes 10:22
sold 17:8 21:9	36:10,21 37:8	subject 13:21	13:18
21:11	37:11	19:12 23:10	talk 7:13
solitary 5:5	ss 50:1	26:24 50:7	talked 32:15
somebody 28:2	staff 22:20 23:6	submit 45:1	talking 14:4
35:20	27:23 28:9,14	submitted	40:10
somerset 9:19	37:15 39:19,24	32:12,19	tall 40:14,18
24:6,8	44:5 46:22	sufficient 45:15	42:1,3,16,18,22
soon 16:20	standalone	suggest 17:23	tavern 29:4,5,9
sophisticated	31:17,23	22:18	30:12
17:16	standard 21:10	suggestion 38:3	taverns 30:23
sorry 30:15	32:6	support 5:5	tended 4:14
32:14	standards	6:11	term 21:1
soy 5:20	15:12 16:1	sur 13:19	36:24
space 38:5,7	state 1:12	sure 15:20	terms 15:13
spaces 24:23	27:19 28:17,19	37:21 39:2	50:8
30:5,6 31:6	36:22 37:1,1,3	surroundings	terrible 16:13
32:9,17	44:6,16 45:4	11:10	testimony 1:6
	45:10 50:1,5		32:12 33:4,21

49:4 50:10,13	thought 38:16	trouble 38:15	understands
text 8:22 16:21	thousand 30:6	troublesome	23:7
17:5 26:13,15	32:9,10,17	4:6	unincorporated
26:18 27:12	thousands 8:4	true 50:12	4:10
28:15 39:12,17	threat 4:11	try 46:20	unintelligible
40:4 43:5,11	7:18,23	trying 43:15	4:24
43:15 45:13,17	three 3:12 5:3	tweaked 27:20	urgency 43:18
46:15	17:2,2,3 19:3,5	two 4:21 35:22	use 13:21 14:22
thank 3:13 6:19	21:6 22:14,21	37:10 38:1	14:24 15:7,8
6:21 8:16,17	27:4	40:8 42:6,9,11	15:13 16:1,5,5
24:4 33:17	time 7:5 15:23	42:12,14,22	16:7,8 17:14
39:2 48:24	17:4,12 18:1	45:9	19:3,5 20:10
thanks 37:13	21:16 22:12	type 11:22 15:2	21:2,10 24:9
theory 35:4	30:8 38:6	15:3 27:15	27:2 29:6,8
44:13	50:13	32:16,21 33:1	31:17 36:2,3
thing 18:15	times 33:3	34:15 36:16	used 15:22
34:11 35:13	title 17:17,18	types 28:24	uses 18:2,8
37:15 43:21	19:7	29:11	22:12
things 5:17	today 3:14 4:8	typical 31:20	usual 50:8
8:11 15:11	9:6	32:4,16,20	V
17:17 37:23	together 42:10	33:14	valuable 4:3
46:17	tomatoes 5:17	typically 32:24	value 34:1
think 9:17 11:8	tonight's 8:22	typo 9:17	44:20
11:14,16 15:12	took 18:19	u	variance 14:23
15:23 16:3,17	township 11:2	unable 16:9	14:24 15:6
16:18 17:1,6,7	13:20	under 5:12	various 19:12
17:15 20:22,24	tract 6:10	34:14 35:20	23:10
21:2,14,20	tracts 4:17	underneath	vegetation
22:3,9 25:6,12	traffic 15:17	33:9	42:18
27:10,19 37:17	transcript	understand 3:7	veritext 50:8
38:8,9 39:7	50:12	3:12 16:16	video 27:12
41:18 42:20	treated 37:6	22:1,8	28:2,16,18
43:8 45:2 49:1	trees 7:17	understanding	30:9 31:16,18
thinking 38:4	triangle 42:8	16:20 44:5	31:24 32:6,10
	42:13,13		32:13,14 33:3

Page	16	
	-	

	. .	1
33:8 34:13	whatsoever	Z
view 12:14 34:3	8:19 17:22	z23-069 1:3
39:12 43:12	46:19	z24-006 1:3
violations 21:3	wheaton 1:10	z24-017 1:3
31:3	widely 4:20	9:19
vote 11:24	width 41:22	z24-022 1:3
12:23 13:15	wife 28:5	zain 1:21
14:24 20:4	wind 5:22	zho 28:1
23:9 24:3	wipe 8:6	zone 4:23
26:12 34:6	wishes 3:8	zoned 36:1
38:9 43:15	word 11:11,14	zoning 1:1,7
46:12	wording 3:23	2:3,4 3:2,4
W	work 25:12	4:16 6:15
want 8:18	worker 4:19	10:24 16:22,23
21:18,18 22:15	workforce	17:18 19:1
24:12 27:9	11:12,19,20	20:9 29:7,10
28:8,11 34:7	wrapped 28:6	29:16,19,20,23
35:8 37:24	wrong 7:9	30:18 31:4,15
39:5 46:19,22	16:12 46:21	32:23 40:5
wants 28:2,7	y	41:5,7 45:7
30:9	yard 40:10,11	50:7
warrenville	40:13,23,24	50.7
3:15	41:15,16,17,22	
way 7:16 31:21	42:3,4	
32:18 33:8	yards 39:18	
41:12,19,24	40:6,6,7,9	
ways 7:14	yeah 15:9 19:4	
we've 8:23	27:5 43:16	
21:13 33:4,15	year 17:2,2 22:4 23:4	
41:3,4 43:8 45:15 47:20		
	years 17:4 19:3	
website 3:23	19:5 21:7	
weeds 8:11	22:14,21,24	
went 11:13	27:4 35:3 41:4	
14:15,19		