DU PAGE COUNTY

421 N. COUNTY FARM ROAD
WHEATON, IL 60187
www.dupagecounty.gov

Police Records Management System Oversight

Final Summary

Wednesday, March 13, 2024 **2:00 PM** **Room 3500B**

1. CALL TO ORDER

2. ROLL CALL

PRESENT	Berlin, Conroy, Fieldman, Franz, Maranowicz, Martynowicz, McPhearson, Mendrick, and Zerwin
ABSENT	Sennett
REMOTE	Ritz, and Scalera

MOTION TO ALLOW REMOTE PARTICIPATION

Member McPhearson moved, seconded by Member Fieldman, to allow remote participation. All ayes. Motion carried.

3. CHAIRMAN'S REMARKS

Chair Berlin said there was a meeting today with Axon and Police Chiefs. He said it is important for everyone to know what is available so they can make an informed decision. He said Jan Barbeau from his office was at the meeting and can provide an update to the committee.

Member McPhearson said RMS Manager Don Ehrenhaft reached out to Axon to see if we can get a full presentation for the committee so everyone is involved.

4. PUBLIC COMMENT

No public comments were offered.

5. APPROVAL OF MINUTES

5.A. **24-0928**

Police Records Management System Oversight Committee - Regular Meeting - Wednesday, December 13, 2023

Attachments: 2023-12-13 PRMS Oversight Committee Minutes (Summary).pdf

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	Anthony McPhearson
SECONDER:	Jeffrey Martynowicz

5.B. **24-0929**

Police Records Management System Oversight Committee - Special Call Meeting - Wednesday, January 17, 2024

Attachments: 2024-01-17 PRMS Oversight Committee Minutes (Summary).pdf

RESULT:	APPROVED
MOVER:	Anthony McPhearson
SECONDER:	Jeffrey Martynowicz

6. DISCUSSION

6.A. **24-0930**

Proposed IGA

Member McPhearson gave a presentation to help the highlight the major topics and discuss them, as attached hereto.

Member Franz asked what the time frame is of the OCRIO, to which Member McPhearson responded mid-year 2025. Member Franz asked if we agree to a one year notice in 2026, would the evaluation from 2025 need to conclude beforehand. Member McPhearson said yes.

Member Fieldman thanked Member McPhearson and Mr. Ehrenhaft for their work, adding that it is imperative that this group continues to work on key purposes and desired outcomes. He said financial impacts could be huge for other agencies if additional agencies were to leave the consortium. He added that we need to start looking at options prior to 2027.

Member Bilodeau asked if conversations have been held between the Sheriff's office and the County, noting that the Sheriff's office has a good relationship with Axon but they have not had a good experience with Hexagon. Member McPhearson said Axon makes sense for law enforcement since they use many of the applications. Member McPhearson said he has not had a conversation with Undersheriff Moore about it.

Member McPhearson reiterated that the consortium is what is the most important, regardless of which product the group decides on.

Member Bilodeau commented that some items under the standalone application column on the Solution Benefits - Comparison slide should really be unknown as opposed to not applicable, to which other members agreed. Member McPhearson said he would update the slides to reflect that change.

Ms. Barbeau said her takeaway from the meeting today was there is a significant amount of interest in looking into Axon further. She said she does not believe people are wanting to move away from the consortium, but from Hexagon. She said Axon has some nice interfaces available, including NIBRS, IUCS, shared police reports, and others. She said a lot of chiefs liked that it is a cloud-based solution so there is a one-time fee to go live and modifications are then part of the system. She said there were between ten and

eleven agencies present today. She said there was a discussion about extending the current IGA for a year. She said Axon would be anywhere from $300,000 to $700,000 per agency, noting that Addison said they can have theirs up by July and the other agencies by April next year. There was then discussion regarding evidence pulling and sharing. Ms. Barbeau said there is a one-time implementation cost then a per-user subscription cost. She added that there were different costs for different parts of the system.

Member Fieldman said the value is in working together to get the lowest possible cost and the lowest possible risk. He said he thinks we should slow down with the proposed IGA amendment and continue to look at Axon and other options. He said he agrees that people and agencies want to work together to get the lowest price. He said there is a 60 day window where another company is trying to see if there is an opportunity for a consortium to drive down their costs and get a competitive product. He said he thinks it is very real that by the end of the first one-year renewal in the current IGA, we could have a significant number of municipalities leave, which would have a significant financial impact to the remaining agencies. Member McPhearson said his biggest concern is we cannot walk away from our financial obligations and discussed different potential options with how to move forward. The committee discussed financial obligations of the agencies within the consortium.

Member Fieldman suggested waiting on the IGA for 60 days while this committee reviews the Hexagon contract and evaluates other options. Chair Berlin agreed and said his office will review the Hexagon contract. Member Blumenthal said the IGA extension occurred because of financial obligations and the use of ETSB funds. Ms. Smith said we were redeveloping the IGA entirely to remove what no longer applies and to correct other items, as we want the Hexagon contract and the IGA to align.
Member McPhearson gave a presentation to help the highlight the major topics and discuss them, as attached hereto.

Member Franz asked what the time frame is of the OCRIO, to which Member McPhearson responded mid-year 2025. Member Franz asked if we agree to a one year notice in 2026, would the evaluation from 2025 need to conclude beforehand. Member McPhearson said yes.

Member Fieldman thanked Member McPhearson and Mr. Ehrenhaft for their work, adding that it is imperative that this group continues to work on key purposes and desired outcomes. He said financial impacts could be huge for other agencies if additional agencies were to leave the consortium. He added that we need to start looking at options prior to 2027.

Member Bilodeau asked if conversations have been held between the Sheriff's office and the County, noting that the Sheriff's office has a good relationship with Axon but they have not had a good experience with Hexagon. Member McPhearson said Axon makes sense for law enforcement since they use many of the applications. Member McPhearson said he has not had a conversation with Undersheriff Moore about it.

Member McPhearson reiterated that the consortium is what is the most important, regardless of which product the group decides on.

Member Bilodeau commented that some items under the standalone application column on the Solution Benefits - Comparison slide should really be unknown as opposed to not applicable, to which other members agreed. Member McPhearson said he would update the slides to reflect that change.

Ms. Barbeau said her takeaway from the meeting today was there is a significant amount of interest in looking into Axon further. She said she does not believe people are wanting to move away from the consortium, but from Hexagon. She said Axon has some nice interfaces available, including NIBRS, IUCS, shared police reports, and others. She said a lot of chiefs liked that it is a cloud-based solution so there is a one-time fee to go live and modifications are then part of the system. She said there were between ten and eleven agencies present today. She said there was a discussion about extending the current IGA for a year. She said Axon would be anywhere from $300,000 to $700,000 per agency, noting that Addison said they can have theirs up by July and the other agencies by April next year. There was then discussion regarding evidence pulling and sharing. Ms. Barbeau said there is a one-time implementation cost then a per-user subscription cost. She added that there were different costs for different parts of the system.

Member Fieldman said the value is in working together to get the lowest possible cost and the lowest possible risk. He said he thinks we should slow down with the proposed IGA amendment and continue to look at Axon and other options. He said he agrees that people and agencies want to work together to get the lowest price. He said there is a 60 day window where another company is trying to see if there is an opportunity for a consortium to drive down their costs and get a competitive product. He said he thinks it is very real that by the end of the first one-year renewal in the current IGA, we could have a significant number of municipalities leave, which would have a significant financial impact to the remaining agencies. Member McPhearson said his biggest concern is we cannot walk away from our financial obligations and discussed different potential options with how to move forward. The committee discussed financial obligations of the agencies within the consortium.

Member Fieldman suggested waiting on the IGA for 60 days while this committee reviews the Hexagon contract and evaluates other options. Chair Berlin agreed and said his office will review the Hexagon contract. Member Blumenthal said the IGA extension occurred because of financial obligations and the use of ETSB funds. Ms. Smith said we were redeveloping the IGA entirely to remove what no longer applies and to correct other items, as we want the Hexagon contract and the IGA to align.

Attachments: PRMS Oversight Committee - 031324

RESULT:	PRESENTED

6.B. **24-0931**

Addison Separation

Member McPhearson said staff is working on an exit agreement with Addison, which will be made available to all agencies once they have completed their financial obligations. He said Addison gave notice before the restatement was signed. He then reviewed the remainder of the attached presentation.

Member Fieldman asked if the exit agreement will require this committee's approval, to which Chair Berlin responded yes. Member Blumenthal said we will need to hold a special call meeting since the initial term ends on April 30th. He recommended holding a special call during the first week of April, such as April 3rd. Member Fieldman said he would like to see a draft agreement before that meeting, to which everyone agreed.

Member Franz offered comments and urged agencies to come forward to discuss any issues they may be facing with Hexagon. He said as members are deciding whether to leave the consortium in the next 60 days, he would urge them to slow down and think through everything so as to keep the consortium together.

Member Martynowicz asked who can relay everything to the agencies. Chair Berlin said he will speak to all of the chiefs at the next joint chiefs meeting. Member Martynowicz suggested they could be invited to the next committee meeting and offer their comments. Member Fieldman said he will work on speaking with the municipalities at the manager level as well. Member McPhearson recommended memorializing the communication to the agencies in a memo from Chair Berlin.

Member Franz asked if Member McPhearson should reach out to Axon. Member McPhearson said he has already contacted them. Ms. Smith asked if Axon would be able to attend the special call meeting on April 3rd. Member Franz said we need to communicate to them that we want to keep the consortium so they should bid on the procurement next year rather than try to get individual agencies to pull out of the consortium now. Member Zerwin discussed how deployment times can take different amounts of time depending on whether they are done by agency or as a consortium.

RESULT:	NO ACTION REQUIRED

7. OLD BUSINESS

No old business was discussed.

8. NEW BUSINESS

No new business was discussed.

9. ADJOURNMENT

With no further business, the meeting was adjourned until April 3rd, 2024 at 2:00pm.